# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**DANNY CRONIN** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 07A-UI-01841-ET** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

**SALEM MANAGEMENT INC** 

Employer

OC: 01-07-07 R: 01 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving 871 IAC 24.26(19 & 22) – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.5-1-i – Reassignment from Employer

#### STATEMENT OF CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the February 14, 2007, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on March 7, 2007. The claimant participated in the hearing. Cyd Hall, Office Manager, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer. Employer's Exhibits One and Two were admitted into evidence.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time machine operator for Salem Management last assigned to Eaton Corporation from June 5, 2006 to December 22, 2006. On December 22, 2006, the employer notified the claimant the assignment was over because the client asked that it be ended due to issues with the claimant's performance. He had received a warning about his performance November 3, 2006. The claimant told the employer he was going to Michigan over the holidays and was not sure when he would return. On January 4, 2007, the claimant went in to the employer's office and picked up his check but did not ask about further work. He testified he did not seek additional employment from the employer because he was upset about being let go so close to Christmas.

## ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily left his employment and whether he sought reassignment from the employer.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation was not disqualifying.

Appeal No. 07A-UI-01841-ET

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(19), (22) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

- (19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.
- (22) The claimant was hired for a specific period of time and completed the contract of hire by working until this specific period of time had lapsed. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of Iowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employees shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

- (1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

The claimant's assignment ended because the client was dissatisfied with the claimant's work performance. There is no evidence that the claimant was not performing the work to the best of his ability or that he knew his job was in jeopardy. Consequently, the administrative law judge concludes he completed his contract of hire with the employer. Additionally, the employer was aware the assignment ended because it notified the claimant of the completion of the assignment and his decision to not seek further assignment with the employer is not a voluntary resignation. Therefore, the claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason, the employer was aware of the end of the assignment, and the claimant's choice not to seek reassignment from the employer is not disqualifying. Benefits are allowed.

## **DECISION:**

je/pjs

The February 14, 2007, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant's separation from employment was for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge	_
Decision Dated and Mailed	