IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

ANDREW CLARK 201 N BLOSSOM ST APT 46 SHENANDOAH IA 51601-1245

DECKER PLASTICS INC 1104 – 2ND AVE COUNCIL BLUFFS IA 51501-4012

Appeal Number:06A-UI-05032-ETOC:03-19-06R:OIClaimant:Appellant(2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed from the April 20, 2006, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on May 25, 2006. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer did not respond to the hearing notice and did not participate in the hearing.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A disqualification decision was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record on April 20, 2006. The claimant was in jail from March 29, 2006 to May 1, 2006, and consequently did not

receive the decision until the half-way house forwarded his mail the week after he was released from jail. Because the claimant appealed the decision when he received it, the administrative law judge concludes his appeal is timely.

The claimant was employed as a full-time production worker for Decker Plastics from August 11, 2005 to September 17, 2005. He was discharged due to allegations of not working to the employer's expectations. He had not received any warnings that his job was in jeopardy and performed the work to the best of his ability given his knowledge of how to do the job.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behaviorwhich

the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. <u>Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The employer has the burden of proving disqualifying job misconduct. <u>Cosper v. lowa</u> <u>Department of Job Service</u>, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). Failure in job performance due to inability or incapacity is not considered misconduct because the actions were not volitional. <u>Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979). Where an individual is discharged due to a failure in job performance, proof of that individual's ability to do the job is required to justify disqualification, rather than accepting the employer's subjective view. To do so is to impermissibly shift the burden of proof to the claimant. <u>Kelly v. IDJS</u>, 386 N.W.2d 552 (Iowa App. 1986). Inasmuch as the claimant did attempt to perform the job to the best of his training and ability but was unable to meet the employer's expectations, the employer has not established intentional misconduct on the part of the claimant. <u>Cosper v.</u> <u>IDJS</u>, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). Consequently, benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The April 20, 2006, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

je/kkf