

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**GERALD A KEENAN**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-09486-AT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE  
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 09/16/07 R: 01  
Claimant: Appellant (2)**

Section 96.4-3 – Work Search

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

The claimant filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated October 1, 2007, reference 02, which issued a warning upon a finding that the claimant had failed to make sufficient job contacts during the week ending September 22, 2007. After a review of the information in the claimant's appeal letter and Agency benefit payment records, the administrative law judge concludes that no additional testimony is necessary.

**ISSUE:**

Should the warning be removed from the claimant's record?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant filed a claim for benefits effective September 16, 2007. The claim was filed late in the workweek, giving the claimant insufficient time to perform a full work search.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The question is whether the warning should be removed from the claimant's record. Iowa Code section 96.4-3 requires that claimants make an active work search each week that they request benefits. The Agency ordinarily interprets this to require a minimum of two contacts per week. The record in this case, however, establishes that the claim was filed late in the workweek. The claimant did not have a reasonable opportunity to conduct a full work search. Under these circumstances, the warning should be removed.

**DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated October 1, 2007, reference 02, is reversed. The warning is removed from the claimant's record.

---

Dan Anderson  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css