# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**BEVERLY A MCCAUGHEY** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-05727-CT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**SEDONA STAFFING** 

Employer

OC: 08/01/10

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5(1)d – Separation Due to Illness/Injury Section 871 IAC 26.8(5) – Decision on the Record

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

An appeal was filed from a representative's decision dated April 26, 2011, reference 03, which concluded Beverly McCaughey left her employment with Sedona Staffing without good cause attributable to the employer. A telephone hearing was scheduled for 8:00 a.m. on June 3, 2011. The claimant did respond to the notice of hearing but was not available at the number provided at the scheduled time of the hearing. Based on the appellant's failure to participate in the hearing, the administrative file, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

Ms. McCaughey contacted the Appeals Bureau at approximately 10:03 a.m. on June 3. She indicated she had been at the hospital with her father beginning at approximately 1:00 a.m. and had forgotten about the hearing time. She was told the hearing record would be reopened if she could provide proof of her father's hospitalization or emergency room treatment. As of June 17, 2011, so such documentation had been provided. Therefore, the hearing record will not be reopened.

## ISSUE:

At issue in this matter is whether the decision previously entered should be affirmed.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. The appellant failed to be available at the telephone number she provided for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice.

The administrative law judge has conducted a careful review of the administrative file to determine whether the unemployment insurance decision should be affirmed.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

871 IAC 26.8(3), (4) and (5) provide:

Withdrawals and postponements.

- (3) If, due to emergency or other good cause, a party, having received due notice, is unable to attend a hearing or request postponement within the prescribed time, the presiding officer may, if no decision has been issued, reopen the record and, with notice to all parties, schedule another hearing. If a decision has been issued, the decision may be vacated upon the presiding officer's own motion or at the request of a party within 15 days after the mailing date of the decision and in the absence of an appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals. If a decision is vacated, notice shall be given to all parties of a new hearing to be held and decided by another presiding officer. Once a decision has become final as provided by statute, the presiding officer has no jurisdiction to reopen the record or vacate the decision.
- (4) A request to reopen a record or vacate a decision may be heard ex parte by the presiding officer. The granting or denial of such a request may be used as a grounds for appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals upon the issuance of the presiding officer's final decision in the case.
- (5) If good cause for postponement or reopening has not been shown, the presiding officer shall make a decision based upon whatever evidence is properly in the record.

The administrative law judge has carefully reviewed the evidence in the record and concludes that the unemployment insurance decision previously entered in this case is correct and should be affirmed.

## **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated April 26, 2011, reference 03, is affirmed. The decision disqualifying the claimant from receiving benefits remains in effect. This decision will become final unless a written appeal is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 15 days of the date of this decision.

Carolyn F. Coleman Administrative Law Judge
Decision Dated and Mailed

cfc/css