## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

JAMES E FAHLE Claimant

# APPEAL 17A-UI-11550-DL-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

OZARK AUTOMOTIVE DISTRIBUTORS INC Employer

> OC: 10/08/17 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the November 1, 2017, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based upon voluntarily quitting the employment. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on December 5, 2017. Claimant participated. Employer participated through distribution center human resource supervisor Julie Akers. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record, including fact-finding documents.

#### **ISSUE:**

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full-time outbound material handler for O'Reilly Auto Parts through August 29, 2017. He quit with the intended separation date as September 12, 2017. He had put in a two-week notice earlier in the employment but rescinded it when he thought he might be able to switch departments. This time the employer accepted the resignation immediately. His reason for leaving is that the employer did not give him time to properly label outbound hazardous materials before they were loaded into trucks. The same issue existed when he worked for the company through a temporary employment agency. The employor began working on the issue but his manager was not cooperative and slowed that progress.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

When the record is composed solely of hearsay evidence, that evidence must be examined closely in light of the entire record. *Schmitz v. Iowa Dep't Human Servs.*, 461 N.W.2d 603, 607 (Iowa Ct. App. 1990). Both the quality and the quantity of the evidence must be evaluated to see whether it rises to the necessary levels of trustworthiness, credibility, and accuracy required

by a reasonably prudent person in the conduct of serious affairs. See, Iowa Code § 17A.14 (1). In making the evaluation, the fact-finder should conduct a common sense evaluation of (1) the nature of the hearsay: (2) the availability of better evidence: (3) the cost of acquiring better information: (4) the need for precision: and (5) the administrative policy to be fulfilled. Schmitz, 461 N.W.2d at 608.

The decision in this case rests, at least in part, upon the credibility of the parties. The employer did not present a witness with direct knowledge of the safety situation. Noting that the claimant presented direct, first-hand testimony while the employer relied upon second-hand information, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant's recollection of the events is more credible than that of the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disgualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. Voluntary guitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary guit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary guits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

### Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(2) provides:

Voluntary guit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary guits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(2) The claimant left due to unsafe working conditions.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(3) provides:

Voluntary guit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(3) The claimant left due to unlawful working conditions.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). A notice of an intent to guit had been required by Cobb v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), Suluki v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (Iowa 1993), and Swanson v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (Iowa Ct. App. 1996). Those cases required an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. However, in 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-guit requirement. The requirement was only added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court recently concluded that, because the intent-to-guit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to guit is not required for intolerable working conditions. Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005).

The employer's failure to resolve safety concerns regarding labeling of hazardous materials to be shipped by common carrier created an intolerable work environment for claimant that gave rise to a good cause reason for leaving the employment.

# **DECISION:**

The November 1, 2017, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/rvs