IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

JULIE A NIELSEN

Claimant

APPEAL 22A-UI-17575-S2-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

GENESIS HEALTH SYSTEM

Employer

OC: 09/11/22

Claimant: Appellant (2)

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a - Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the September 26, 2022, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon a finding that claimant was discharged due to a violation of a known company rule. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on October 25, 2022. Claimant Julie Nielsen participated. Employer Genesis Health System participated through human resources coordinator Nicole Lear and manager of patient access and central scheduling Aimee Egesdahl.

ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full time as an emergency department registrar from March 25, 2019, until August 31, 2022, when she was discharged.

Employer maintains a policy requiring employees to swipe their badge in upon arrival at work and swipe out when they leave work for the day. Employees are also required to swipe their badge in and out if they leave the campus for lunch. If an employee forgets their badge, they may manually enter their arrival and departure time, which must then be approved by a supervisor. Employer also maintains a policy which provides for immediate termination of employment if an employee falsifies a timecard.

The final incident leading to discharge occurred on August 29, 2022. On that date, employer learned claimant failed to swipe her badge on her way out of work to go on her lunch break off campus. Claimant was unaware she forgot to swipe in and out on that date and did not intentionally fail do so.

After this incident, employer investigated other dates of claimant's timecard to see if there were other similar incidents. It reviewed security camera footage to see when claimant arrived and left work. On August 24, 2022, the footage and timecard showed claimant manually clocked

herself in at 6:45 a.m. Security footage shows claimant walking into the building at 6:46 a.m. Claimant had to manually enter her time for a couple of days because she did not have her badge. The following day, on August 25, 2022, claimant manually clocked in at 6:45 a.m. but she did not arrive at work until 6:47 a.m. When claimant began her employment, her supervisor at the time told claimant there was a seven-minute grace period during which an employee would not be docked pay. Claimant believed clocking in at her scheduled work time so long as it was within seven minutes of her actual arrival time was allowed under the policy.

Employer maintains a policy which provides that falsification of a timecard results in immediate termination of employment. On August 31, 2022, after conducting its investigation into claimant's timecards, employer discharged claimant for falsification of timecards.

Claimant received prior disciplinary actions for tardiness, most recently, a written corrective action on August 26, 2022. Employer gave claimant the corrective action for tardies occurring between May 29, 2022, and August 22, 2022. The corrective action was not related to the manner in which she clocked in an out or for falsification of timecards.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed.

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

lowa Code section 96.5(2)b, c and d provide:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- b. Provided further, if gross misconduct is established, the department shall cancel the individual's wage credits earned, prior to the date of discharge, from all employers.
- c. Gross misconduct is deemed to have occurred after a claimant loses employment as a result of an act constituting an indictable offense in connection with the claimant's employment, provided the claimant is duly convicted thereof or has signed a statement admitting the commission of such an act. Determinations regarding a benefit claim may be redetermined within five years from the effective date of the claim. Any benefits paid to a claimant prior to a

determination that the claimant has lost employment as a result of such act shall not be considered to have been accepted by the claimant in good faith.

- d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or even design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:
- (1) Material falsification of the individual's employment application.
- (2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer.
- (3) Intentional damage of an employer's property.
- (4) Consumption of alcohol, illegal or nonprescribed prescription drugs, or an impairing substance in a manner not directed by the manufacturer, or a combination of such substances, on the employer's premises in violation of the employer's employment policies.
- (5) Reporting to work under the influence of alcohol, illegal or nonprescribed prescription drugs, or an impairing substance in an off-label manner, or a combination of such substances, on the employer's premises in violation of the employer's employment policies, unless the individual if compelled to work by the employer outside of scheduled or on-call working hours.
- (6) Conduct that substantially and unjustifiably endangers the personal safety of coworkers or the general public.
- (7) Incarceration for an act for which one could reasonably expect to be incarcerated that result in missing work.
- (8) Incarceration as a result of a misdemeanor or felony conviction by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- (9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.
- (10) Falsification of any work-related report, task, or job that could expose the employer or coworkers to legal liability or sanction for violation of health or safety laws.
- (11) Failure to maintain any licenses, registration, or certification that is reasonably required by the employer or by law, or that is a functional requirement to perform the individual's regular job duties, unless the failure is not within the control of the individual.

- (12) Conduct that is libelous or slanderous toward an employer or an employee of the employer if such conduct is not protected under state or federal law.
- (13) Theft of an employer or coworker's funds or property.
- (14) Intentional misrepresentation of time worked or work carried out that results in the individual receiving unearned wages or unearned benefits.

In an at-will employment environment an employer may discharge an employee for any number of reasons or no reason at all if it is not contrary to public policy, but if it fails to meet its burden of proof to establish job related misconduct as the reason for the separation, it incurs potential liability for unemployment insurance benefits related to that separation. A determination as to whether an employee's act is misconduct does not rest solely on the interpretation or application of the employer's policy or rule. A violation is not necessarily disqualifying misconduct even if the employer was fully within its rights to impose discipline up to or including discharge for the incident under its policy.

Here, on two occasions claimant clocked in at her scheduled start time rather than her arrival time two minutes later. Claimant was trained on employer's policy regarding the seven-minute grace period and believed she followed employers' policies in entering her time in this way. Claimant's failure to clock out and in when she left the campus for lunch was an isolated incident of poor judgment. "[M]ere negligence is not enough to constitute misconduct." Lee v. Employment Appeal Board, 616 N.W.2d 661, 666 (lowa 2000). A claimant will not be disqualified if the employer shows only "inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances." 871 IAC 24.32(1)(a). When looking at an alleged pattern of negligence, previous incidents are considered when deciding whether a "degree of recurrence" indicates culp ability. Claimant was careless, but the carelessness does not indicate "such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design" such that it could accurately be called misconduct. lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)(a); Greenwell v. Emp't Appeal Bd., No. 15-0154 (lowa Ct. App. Mar. 23, 2016). Claimant mistakenly entered her arrival time on two occasions and forgot to clock out for lunch on one occasion. None of these instances were intentional, and none provided any unearned wages or benefits to claimant. Ordinary negligence is all that is proven here. Because employer has failed to establish disqualifying misconduct, benefits are allowed, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

DECISION:

The September 26, 2022, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. Claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided she is otherwise eligible. Any benefits claimed and withheld on this basis shall be paid.

Stephanie Adkisson Administrative Law Judge

Stephaned alkerson

October 31, 2022
Decision Dated and Mailed

mh

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, faxo en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https:///www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.