# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**ROLAND CARROLL** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-12495-MT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

L A LEASING INC SEDONA STAFFING

Employer

OC: 08/07/11

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated September 16, 2011, reference 01, which held claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on October 17, 2011. Claimant participated. Employer participated by Collen McGuinty, Unemployment Benefits Administrator and Carrie Cannon, Operations Manager. Exhibit One was admitted into evidence.

# **ISSUE:**

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on February 2, 2011. Claimant worked a one-day assignment as spot labor at the five flags center. Claimant asked for more work when given the one-day assignment. Claimant was informed at the time of hire that he must ask for further assignments within three days of the end of an assignment.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has established that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to employer when claimant terminated the employment relationship because the assignment ended. Claimant did ask for work on the day the assignment was given. Since it was a one-day assignment, claimant's request for work fulfills the three-day rule. Benefits allowed.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

- (1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

ח	F	C	ISI	0	N	
ப		u	J.	u	IV	_

The	decision	of	the	representative	dated	September	16,	2011,	reference 01,	is	affirmed.
Uner	nploymen	t in	surar	nce benefits are	allowe	d, provided o	clain	nant is o	otherwise eligibl	le.	

Marian Marmann

Marlon Mormann Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

mdm/pjs