# BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

LARRY KOZICH	: : : <b>HEARING NUMBER:</b> 09B-UI-01185
Claimant,	: TILAKING NOMBEK, 09B-01-01103
and	: EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
COCA-COLA ENTERPRISES INC	: DECISION :
Employer.	

#### NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within 30 days of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-2-a

### DECISION

## UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member concurring, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

John A. Peno	

# AMG/fnv

## CONCURRING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:

I agree with my fellow board members that the administrative law judge's decision should be affirmed;
however, I would find that the employer was justified in terminating the claimant. It is not clear, though,
that the employer had an off-site code of conduct in light of the fact that the claimant's behavior was not
work-related misconduct. Had the employer had a specific policy, this case may have taken a different
direction. See, Kleidosty v. Employment Appeal Board, 482 N.W.2d 416 (Iowa 1992).

Monique F.	Kuester	

AMG/fnv