# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**CALEB M STURTZ** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 22A-UI-09565-DZ-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
REEMPLOYMENT SERVICES

OC: 01/09/22

Claimant: Appellant (6)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able to and Available for work

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.2(1)e – Procedures for workers desiring to file a claim for benefits

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23 (11) - Failure to Report

Iowa Code § 96.4(7) - Reemployment services

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.6 - Profiling for reemployment services

Iowa Code Ch. 17A – Iowa Administrative Procedure Act

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.19(3) - Claims Bureau Subsequent Action

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.11 - Motions

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Caleb M Sturtz, the claimant/appellant, filed an appeal from the April 7, 2022, (reference 04) unemployment insurance (UI) decision that denied benefits as of April 3, 2022 because he did not report to Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) for a reemployment and eligibility assessment. Before a hearing was held, IWD issued a favorable UI decision to Mr. Sturtz dated May 19, 2022, (reference 05) finding him eligible for UI benefits as of April 3, 2022 because he has since participated in the assessment. The reference 05 UI decision made the issue on appeal moot. Therefore, no testimony or additional evidence was necessary. No hearing was held.

#### ISSUE:

Should the most recent UI decision be affirmed? Should the appeal be dismissed as moot?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

These findings of fact are based on the pertinent agency documents relating to Mr. Sturtz and his appeal. An April 7, 2022 (reference 04) UI decision determined that Mr. Sturtz was not eligible for UI benefits as of April 3, 2022, because he did not report to IWD as required. Mr. Sturtz appealed this decision. Before a hearing was held, IWD issued a favorable UI decision to Mr. Sturtz. The May 19, 2022, (reference 05) concluded that Mr. Sturtz is eligible for UI benefits as of April 3, 2022 as long as he meets all the other eligibility requirements. The department asked that the appeal be dismissed. The reference 05 UI decision resolved the only issue on appeal in the Mr. Sturtz's favor, making the appeal moot.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Generally, courts and administrative tribunals do not decide issues when the underlying controversy is moot. *Rhiner v. State*, 703 N.W.2d 174, 176 (lowa 2005). "A case is moot if it no longer presents a justiciable controversy because the issues involved are academic or nonexistent." *Iowa Bankers Ass'n v. Iowa Credit Union Dep't*, 335 N.W.2d 439, 442 (Iowa 1983)

The UI decision Mr. Sturtz appealed was amended in his favor, making this appeal moot. The appeal of the original representative's April 7, 2022, (reference 04) UI decision is dismissed. The most recent UI decision, the May 19, 2022, (reference 05) decision is affirmed.

## **DECISION:**

The appeal is dismissed as moot. The May 19, 2022, (reference 05) UI decision is affirmed.

Daniel Zeno

Administrative Law Judge lowa Workforce Development Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

May 25, 2022

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

dz/scn