

**BEFORE THE  
EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
Lucas State Office Building  
Fourth floor  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319**

---

**BARBARA A LAIB**

Claimant,

and

**GRAPEVINE STAFFING LLC**

Employer.

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**HEARING NUMBER: 13B-UI-06170**

**EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
DECISION**

**NOTICE**

**THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL** unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A **REHEARING REQUEST** shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION: 96.5-2-A**

**DECISION**

**UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED**

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

---

Monique F. Kuester

---

Cloyd (Robby) Robinson

**DISSENTING OPINION OF JOHN A. PENO:**

I respectfully dissent from the decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge in its entirety. The Claimant provided what I find credible testimony that she had permission to leave because she had a toothache. The following day, she contacted the client and left a message with the Employer reporting that she would be absent that day because of her toothache. This was the Claimant's only absence after receiving a written warning about her attendance, which I would conclude was excusable. The court in *Cosper v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982) held that absences due to illness, which are properly reported, are excused and not misconduct. See also, *Gaborit v. Employment Appeal Board*, 734 N.W.2d 554 (Iowa App. 2007) wherein the court held an absence can be excused for purposes of unemployment insurance eligibility even if the employer was fully within its rights to assess points or impose discipline up to or including discharged for the absence under its attendance policy.

---

John A. Peno

A portion of the Claimant's appeal to the Employment Appeal Board consisted of additional evidence which was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge. While the appeal and additional evidence were reviewed, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision.

---

John A. Peno

---

Monique F. Kuester

---

Cloyd (Robby) Robinson

AMG/fnv