IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

WENDY M HOLBACH

Claimant

APPEAL 24A-UI-03234-DZ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ATEK METAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC

Employer

OC: 07/02/23

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Atek Metal Technologies, LLC, the employer/appellant,¹ appealed the Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) March 13, 2024 (reference 02) unemployment insurance (UI) decision. IWD found Ms. Holbach eligible for REGULAR (state) UI benefits because IWD concluded the employer dismissed her from employment on February 22, 2024 for a reason that did not disqualify her from receiving UI benefits. On March 26, 2024, the Iowa Department of Inspections, Appeals, and Licensing (DIAL), UI Appeals Bureau mailed a notice of hearing to the employer and Ms. Holbach for a telephone hearing scheduled for April 16, 2024.

The administrative law judge held a telephone hearing on April 16, 2024. The employer participated in the hearing through Julie Elsa Miller, human resources generalist. Ms. Holbach participated in the hearing personally. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

The administrative law judge concludes Ms. Holbach is eligible for UI benefits based on how her job ended with this employer because the employer has not established a current act of misconduct on the part of Ms. Holbach.

ISSUES:

Did the employer discharge Ms. Holbach from employment for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

Did IWD overpay Ms. Holbach UI benefits?

If so, should she repay the benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Ms. Holbach began working for the employer on July 12, 2021. She worked as a full-time core operator. Her employment ended on February 23, 2024.

¹ Appellant is the person or employer who appealed.

On Monday, February 19, Ms. Holbach contacted the employer's human resources (HR) office. She spoke with Patricia Tibbits, a senior human resources generalist. Ms. Holbach explained that she was facing a family emergency – a family member with whom she lived was experiencing mental health issues and she had to temporarily leave the home – and she could not attend work. Ms. Tibbits told Ms. Holbach that she could use paid-time-off (PTO) for one day – the balance of Ms. Holbach's PTO time – and two days of unpaid time. Ms. Holbach told Ms. Tibbits that she would contact the employer on Wednesday, February 21, and return to work on Thursday, February 22.

Ms. Holbach did not attend work that Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday. On Wednesday, Ms. Holbach emailed Ms. Tibbits and left her a voice message saying Ms. Holbach would return to work the next day. Ms. Holbach returned to work on Thursday and spoke with Ms. Tibbits. Ms. Tibbits told Ms. Holbach that her leave was not approved and that someone would talk with Ms. Holbach soon.

The next day, Friday, February 23, the employer terminated Ms. Holbach's employment for accruing too many attendance points. The employer's attendance policy provides that the employer will assess an employee 2 points for each No-Call/No-Show and terminate an employee's employment at 6 points. The employer calculated that Ms. Holbach had 6 points by February 22.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the employer discharged Ms. Holbach from employment on February 23, 2024 for a reason that does not disqualify her from receiving UI benefits.

lowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) and (d) provide, in relevant part:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

. . .

(9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7) and (8) provide:

- (7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.
- (8) Past acts of misconduct. While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of a current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act or acts. The termination of employment must be based on a current act.

The purpose of subrule eight is to assure that an employer does not save up acts of misconduct and spring them on an employee when an independent desire to terminate arises.

Excessive absenteeism is not considered misconduct unless the absences are also unexcused. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness; and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. The requirements for a finding of misconduct based on absences are twofold. First, the absences must be excessive. The determination of whether absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. Second, the absences must be unexcused. The requirement of "unexcused" can be satisfied in two ways. An absence can be unexcused either because it was not for "reasonable grounds," or because it was not "properly reported."

An employer's no-fault absenteeism policy or point system does not, on its own, decide the issue of qualification for UI benefits. Absences due to properly reported illness cannot constitute work-connected misconduct since they are not voluntary. This is true even if the employer was fully within its rights to assess points or impose discipline up to or including discharge for the absence under its attendance policy. Medical documentation is not essential to a determination that an absence due to illness should be treated as excused. Absences related to other issues such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. When a claimant does not provide an excuse for an absence the absences is deemed unexcused.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct.¹⁰ The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating the claimant from employment, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits.¹¹ Misconduct must be "substantial" to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits.¹²

² Sallis v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 437 N.W.2d 895 (Iowa 1989).

³ Higgins v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 350 N.W.2d 187, 192 (Iowa 1984).

⁴ Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6, 10 (Iowa 1982).

⁵ Higgins, 350 N.W.2d at 191; Cosper, 321 N.W.2d at 10.

⁶ Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7); Cosper, 321 N.W.2d at 9; Gaborit v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 734 N.W.2d 554 (Iowa Ct. App. 2007).

⁷ See Gaborit, 734 N.W.2d at 555-558.

⁸ Higgins, 350 N.W.2d at 191.

⁹ *Id.*; see also Spragg v. Becker-Underwood, Inc., 672 N.W.2d 333, 2003 WL 22339237 (Iowa App. 2003).

¹⁰ Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982).

¹¹ Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

¹² Newman v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

In an at-will employment environment an employer may discharge an employee for any number of reasons or no reason at all if it is not contrary to public policy, but if it fails to meet its burden of proof to establish job related misconduct as the reason for the separation, it incurs potential liability for unemployment insurance benefits related to that separation. A determination as to whether an employee's act is misconduct does not rest solely on the interpretation or application of the employer's policy or rule. A violation of the employer's policy or rule is not necessarily disqualifying misconduct even if the employer was fully within its rights to impose discipline up to or including discharge for the incident under its policy.

The most recent incident leading the employer to discharge Ms. Holbach must be a current act of misconduct to disqualify her from receiving UI benefits. The most recent act for which the employer terminated Ms. Holbach's employment was because she called in on February 19 and per her agreement with Ms. Tibbits did not attend work February 19, 20, and 21. Ms. Holbach's absences those three days were for a reasonable ground – family emergency – and she properly reported it to the employer. These absences are excused and are not misconduct. The employer has not established a current act of misconduct on the part of Ms. Holbach, so Ms. Holbach is eligible for UI benefits.

Since Ms. Holbach is eligible for REGULAR (state) UI benefits per this decision, the issues of overpayment and repayment are moot. An issue being moot means there is nothing left to decide.¹³

DECISION:

The March 13, 2024, (reference 02) UI decision is AFFIRMED. The employer discharged Ms. Holbach from employment on February 23, 2024 for a reason that does not disqualify her from receiving UI benefits. Ms. Holbach is eligible for UI benefits, as long as no other decision denies her UI benefits.

Daniel Zeno

Administrative Law Judge

Amal gra

April 22, 2024

Decision Dated and Mailed

scn

¹³ Iowa Bankers Ass'n v. Iowa Credit Union Dep't, 335 N.W.2d 439, 442 (Iowa 1983).

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with this decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.