IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

DAVID W JACKSON 1313 WILSON DYSART IA 5224

HY-VEE INC

C/O TALX UCM SERVICES
PO BOX 283
ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283

TALX UC EXPRESS 4100 HUBBELL #78 DES MOINES IA 50317 4546 Appeal Number: 04A-UI-04470-H2T

OC 03-21-04 R 03 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.*

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)		
(Decision Dated & Mailed)		

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the April 9, 2004, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on May 13, 2004. The claimant did not participate. The employer did participate through Tom McCreey, Store Director and was represented by David Williams of Talx UC express.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a deli manager full time beginning September 29, 2003 through March 3, 2004 when he was discharged.

On the evening of February 27, 2004 the claimant called Brenda Mascari, a 20 year-old employee who worked for him, and asked her to have a 'relationship' with him. The claimant is approximately 45 years old. Ms. Mascari believed the claimant to be drunk as he was slurring his words while he told her that he had purchased pills to enlarge his penis and that he really wanted to have a sexual relationship with a 20 year-old woman. The claimant told Ms. Mascari they would have to keep their 'relationship' secret, clearly indicating that even in his intoxicated state he knew that having a 'relationship' with an employee who worked for him was against his employer's policies. Ms. Mascari was so upset by the conversation that she determined to quit her employment rather than continue working with the claimant. The claimant was encouraged to file a complaint with management about the claimant's behavior. The claimant admitted to Jen Woodbury another coworker that he had called Ms. Mascari when he was drunk and propositioned her. When Store Director Tom McCreery investigated the complaint, he interviewed the claimant who admitted making the call to Ms. Mascari and propositioning her. The claimant told Mr. McCreery he was ashamed and embarrassed by his own behavior and he wrote out a statement detailing his actions. The claimant's actions were in contravention of the employer's sexual harassment policy and he was discharged.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits after the separation from employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being

limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. <u>Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

An employer has a right to expect employees to conduct themselves in a certain manner. The claimant disregarded the employer's rights by failing to follow the employer's sexual harassment policy when he asked an employee working for him to engage in a sexual relationship with him. The claimant's disregard of the employer's rights and interests is sufficient misconduct to disqualify him from receiving unemployment insurance benefits. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

DECISION:

The April 9, 2004, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$1,194.00.

tkh/kjf