

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

LYNN M MIXON
Claimant

CEDAR RAPIDS THUNDER INC
Employer

APPEAL 18A-UI-10321-CL-T
**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

OC: 07/01/18
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.3(5) – Benefit Duration - Business Closing
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.29(1) and (2) – Business Closing

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the October 3, 2018, (reference 07) unemployment insurance decision that denied the request to redetermine the claim based upon a business closure. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on October 30, 2018. Claimant participated personally. Employer did not register for the hearing and did not participate.

ISSUE:

Is the claimant eligible to have the monetary determination recalculated due to business closing?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was separated from employment on June 30, 2018, when she was laid off. Employer is winding down its business and will eventually close. However, it still has two remaining projects that must be completed before it can do so.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was not laid off as a result of a business closure at the location where she worked and, therefore, is not entitled to a redetermination of wage credits at this time.

Iowa Code section 96.3(5)a provides:

- a. Duration of benefits. The maximum total amount of benefits payable to an eligible individual during a benefit year shall not exceed the total of the wage credits accrued to the individual's account during the individual's base period, or twenty-six times the individual's weekly benefit amount, whichever is the lesser. The director shall maintain a separate account for each individual who earns wages in insured work. The director

shall compute wage credits for each individual by crediting the individual's account with one-third of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. However, the director shall recompute wage credits for an individual who is laid off due to the individual's employer going out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises at which the individual was last employed, by crediting the individual's account with one-half, instead of one-third, of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. Benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the base period wage credits in the individual's account which have not been previously charged, in the inverse chronological order as the wages on which the wage credits are based were paid. However if the state "off" indicator is in effect and if the individual is laid off due to the individual's employer going out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises at which the individual was last employed, the maximum benefits payable shall be extended to thirty-nine times the individual's weekly benefit amount, but not to exceed the total of the wage credits accrued to the individual's account.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.29(1) provides:

Business closing.

(1) Whenever an employer at a factory, establishment, or other premises goes out of business at which the individual was last employed and is laid off, the individual's account is credited with one-half, instead of one-third, of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period, which may increase the maximum benefit amount up to 39 times the weekly benefit amount or one-half of the total base period wages, whichever is less. This rule also applies retroactively for monetary redetermination purposes during the current benefit year of the individual who is temporarily laid off with the expectation of returning to work once the temporary or seasonal factors have been eliminated and is prevented from returning to work because of the going out of business of the employer within the same benefit year of the individual. This rule also applies to an individual who works in temporary employment between the layoff from the business closing employer and the Claim for Benefits. For the purposes of this rule, temporary employment means employment of a duration not to exceed four weeks.

Since there is still an ongoing business at the location at which claimant was employed, the business is not considered to have closed. Therefore, while claimant remains qualified for benefits based upon a layoff from this employer, she is not entitled to a recalculation of benefits.

DECISION:

The October 3, 2018, (reference 07) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant was not laid off due to a business closure. Recalculation of benefits is denied. If the entire business closes and ceases all operation at that location at some future date, claimant may reapply for recalculation.

Christine A. Louis
Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209
Fax (515)478-3528

Decision Dated and Mailed

cal/scn