

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

MATTHIAS T SCHRODER
Claimant

APPEAL 16A-UI-08579-H2T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 07/17/16
Claimant: Appellant (2)**

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) - Adequate Work Search

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the August 2, 2016, (reference 01) decision. The decision warned that the claimant may be disqualified for future weeks in which benefits are claimed and fewer than two in-person job contacts are made but did not deny benefits for that week. After due notice was issued, a hearing was scheduled to be held at 11:00 a.m. on August 25, 2016. Claimant's appeal letter and accompanying documents were sufficient to resolve the issue and no hearing was held.

ISSUE:

Was the warning to make two or more in-person job contacts per week appropriate?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of July 17, 2016. During the week ending July 30, 2016 the claimant's records indicate he did make the required job searches.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as

defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

The claimant has sufficiently demonstrated to the satisfaction of the administrative law judge that claimant was available for work the week ending July 30, 2016 and that he did make the required job searches. Accordingly, the warning was inappropriate.

DECISION:

The August 2, 2016, (reference 01) decision is reversed. The decision warning the claimant to conduct at least two in-person work searches during each week benefits are claimed was inappropriate.

Teresa K. Hillary
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/pjs