IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

SARAH M STEWARD

Claimant

APPEAL 20A-UI-15963-ED-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IA VETERANS HOME - MARSHALLTOWN

Employer

OC: 07/05/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the November 4, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon her voluntarily quitting work without good cause attributable to the employer. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on January 29, 2021. The claimant, Sarah Steward, participated personally. The employer, IA Veterans Home – Marshalltown, participated through hearing representative Judy Berry and Human Resources Director Melissa Sienknecht.

ISSUES:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer? Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as a Certified Nursing Assistant. She began working for this employer on July 16, 2009 and her employment ended on May 8, 2020. Her job duties included providing cares for residents of the facility.

On May 12, 2020 claimant verbally notified the administrator of Nursing, Sarah Bruner, that she was resigning effective immediately. Claimant was concerned about being exposed to COVID-19. Claimant had been tested for the N-95 masks but was not able to wear one, so claimant would not have been required to work in the Covid-19 positive wing of the facility. Still, claimant did not feel comfortable continuing to work due to her underlying health condition of COPD, a breast cancer survivor and that she was 60 years of age. Claimant then left after tendering her verbal resignation. Claimant did not state to Ms. Bruner any other reason as to why she was quitting her employment with the company.

Claimant was not going to be laid off or discharged from employment. There was continuing work available to her had she not quit.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

Iowa Code §96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W. 2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

Claimant determined she could no longer work in a facility due to her potential exposure to Covid-19. Claimant had an intention to quit and carried out that intention by tendering her verbal resignation and leaving. As such, claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

As such, if claimant establishes that she left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions, benefits would be allowed. Generally notice of an intent to guit is required by Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), Suluki v. Employment Appeal Bd., 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (Iowa 1993), and Swanson v. Employment Appeal Bd., 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (Iowa Ct. App. 1996). These cases require an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. Accordingly, in 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. The requirement was only added, however, to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court concluded that, because the intent-to-guit requirement was added to 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Bd., 710 N.W.2d 1 (lowa 2005). "Good cause attributable to the employer" does not require fault, negligence, wrongdoing or bad faith by the employer. Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Bd., 433 N.W.2d 700, 702 (Iowa 1988)("[G]ood cause attributable to the employer can exist even though the employer is free from all negligence or wrongdoing in connection therewith"); Shontz v. lowa Employment Sec. Commission, 248 N.W.2d 88, 91 (lowa 1976)(benefits payable even though

employer "free from fault"); Raffety v. Iowa Employment Security Commission, 76 N.W.2d 787, 788 (Iowa 1956) ("The good cause attributable to the employer need not be based upon a fault or wrong of such employer."). Good cause may be attributable to "the employment itself" rather than the employer personally and still satisfy the requirements of the Act. Raffety, 76 N.W.2d at 788 (Iowa 1956). Therefore, claimant was not required to give the employer any notice with regard to the intolerable or detrimental working conditions prior to her quitting. However, claimant must prove that her working conditions were intolerable or detrimental.

Claimant did not pass the N95 assessment and would not have been required to work in the areas of the facility where N95 PPE was required and where residents had tested positive for Covid-19. Claimant was able to work in any other area of the facility that did not house Covid-19 positive residents. Instead of continuing to work in other areas of the facility, claimant simply resigned. Given the facts of this case claimant has failed to prove that under the same circumstances a reasonable person would feel compelled to resign. See O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Bd., 494 N.W.2d 660 (Iowa 1993). Rather, the circumstances in this case seem to align with the conclusion that claimant was unable to continue to work because claimant was dissatisfied with her work environment in general. While these may be good personal reasons for the claimant, they are not reasons attributable to the employer for claimant to have quit.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(6) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(6) The claimant left as a result of an inability to work with other employees.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(21) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.

As such, the claimant's voluntary quitting was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to lowa law. Benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The November 4, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld in regards to this employer until such time as claimant is deemed eligible.

Emily Drenkow Com

Emily Drenkow Carr Administrative Law Judge

__February 16, 2021__ Decision Dated and Mailed

ed/mh