# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**GOUT M AJANG** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 08A-UI-07695-DT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

**SWIFT & COMPANY** 

Employer

OC: 12/3/07 R: 02 Claimant: Respondent (2/R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Overpayment of Benefits

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Swift & Company (employer) appealed a representative's August 18, 2008 decision (reference 02) that concluded Gout M. Ajang (claimant) was qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on September 9, 2008. The claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice and provide a telephone number at which he could be reached for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing. Tonya Box appeared on the employer's behalf. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the employer, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

## ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit for a good cause attributable to the employer?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant started working for the employer on May 17, 2008. He worked full time as a laborer in the employer's Marshalltown, Iowa pork processing facility. His regular work schedule was on the second shift, approximately 4:00 p.m. to 12:30 a.m. His last day of work was the shift that began at 4:00 p.m. on July 25, 2008. The claimant clocked out and left at 6:16 p.m. without permission from or notification to any supervisor. No reason was provided. He did not attempt to return to work thereafter. The claimant's job was not otherwise in jeopardy and continued work was available to him had he not left.

The claimant established a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective December 30, 2007. He filed an additional claim effective July 27, 2008. The claimant has received unemployment insurance benefits after the separation from employment.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

If the claimant voluntarily quit his employment, he is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits unless it was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.5-1.

Rule 871 IAC 24.25 provides that, in general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship and an action to carry out that intent. Bartelt v. Employment Appeal Board, 494 N.W.2d 684 (lowa 1993); Wills v. Employment Appeal Board, 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (lowa 1989). The claimant did express or exhibit the intent to cease working for the employer and did act to carry it out. The claimant would be disqualified for unemployment insurance benefits unless he voluntarily quit for good cause.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify him. Iowa Code § 96.6-2. The claimant has not satisfied his burden. Benefits are denied.

The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. Iowa Code § 96.3-7. In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was ineligible for those benefits. The matter of determining the amount of the overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under lowa Code § 96.3-7-b is remanded the Claims Section.

## **DECISION:**

The representative's August 18, 2008 decision (reference 02) is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer. As of July 25, 2008, benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant has worked in and been paid wages

for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The matter is remanded to the Claims Section for investigation and determination of the overpayment issue.

\_\_\_\_\_

Lynette A. F. Donner Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

ld/pjs