IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

SARAH L SCHATZBERG APT 1 101 N WLANUT ST REINBECK IA 50669

CASEY'S RETAIL COMPANY

COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPANY
COMPAN

AMENDED Appeal Number: 05A-UI-06429-H2T

OC: 05-22-05 R: 03 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319*.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)	
(Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the June 8, 2005, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on July 25, 2005. The claimant did participate and was represented by Sara Nelson, Attorney at Law. The employer did participate through Annette Ellis, Manager.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a clerk/cashier part time beginning February 28, 2005 through May 21, 2005, when she voluntarily quit. The claimant last worked on May 21, 2005. She was

scheduled to work on May 23, 26, 27 and 28. The claimant was a no-call/no-show for each of her shifts after May 21. The claimant's failure to show up for work or to call in to report her absence from work is a violation of the employer's policy. Continued work was available.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits after the separation from employment.

### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

The claimant was allegedly told by another coworker, Pat Frost, that she was discharged. Ms. Ellis, on behalf of the employer, disputes that the claimant was ever told by herself or anyone else that she was discharged. Ms. Ellis never allowed other employees to discharge employees, as she was the sole manager. The claimant admitted that Ms. Anderson did not tell her she was discharged. The administrative law judge is persuaded that the claimant was a no-call/no-show for three consecutive workdays.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

## 871 IAC 24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

Inasmuch as the claimant failed to report for work or notify the employer for three consecutive workdays in violation of the employer policy, the claimant is considered to have voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code section 96.6-2 (amended 1998). Generally, when an individual mistakenly believes they are discharged from employment, but were not told so by the employer, and they discontinue reporting for work, the separation is considered a quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

# Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

## **DECISION:**

The June 8, 2005, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$1,422.00.

tkh/kjw/kjf