IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

CHRISTINE M KNAPP

Claimant

APPEAL 22A-UI-09737-AR-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

DRIVELINE RETAIL MERCHANDISING INC

Employer

OC: 02/07/21

Claimant: Respondent (1)

lowa Code § 96.6(2) - Timeliness of Appeal

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

lowa Code § 96.5(1) - Voluntary Quitting

lowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

lowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.10 – Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the March 16, 2022, (reference 07) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based upon the determination that claimant was discharged from employment, but not for disqualifying misconduct. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on June 1, 2022. The claimant, Christine M. Knapp, participated personally. The employer, Driveline Retail Merchandising, Inc., participated through Fatema Islam. Department Exhibit D-1 was admitted. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Is the employer's appeal timely?

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

Has the claimant been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits, and if so, can the repayment of those benefits to the agency be waived?

Can charges to the employer's account be waived?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A decision allowing benefits was mailed to the employer's last known address of record, which is the correct address of record, on March 16, 2022. The employer's witness did not know when the employer received the decision in the mail. It is standard practice to forward unemployment matters to the employer's witness, who works for the employer's PEO. The witness also did not know when the decision was received by the PEO, though it is stamped as received by the PEO on April 14, 2022. The decision contained a warning that any appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau by March 26, 2022. The employer filed its appeal on April 14, 2022.

Claimant was employed part time as a merchandiser from July 31, 2020, until this employment ended on April 1, 2021, when she was discharged.

Claimant was discharged for violation of the employer's code of conduct after the employer received a complaint about some of claimant's work from one of its clients. The employer conducted an HR investigation into the complaint, and ultimately concluded that claimant's employment should be terminated. Claimant explained that the employer told her it had received photos of some work on which she had made inadvertent mistakes. She had never received a disciplinary warning for similar conduct in the past, and she did not know that her employment could be jeopardized by such conduct.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the employer's appeal is untimely.

lowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

lowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.35(1) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
- (b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.
- (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982).

Here, the employer has not demonstrated to the satisfaction of the administrative law judge that its delay in filing an appeal was due to Agency error or delay by the United States Postal Service. The employer's witness could provide no testimony regarding when the decision was received at the correct address of record by the employer. If the decision was delayed in being transmitted to the PEO for processing, that does not amount to an error by the Agency or a delay by the United States Postal Service. It is the employer's obligation to act in a timely manner with respect to decisions it wishes to appeal. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay. The employer's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to decide the other issue in this matter.

However, even if the appeal was timely filed, the administrative law judge concludes that the employer has not carried its burden of establishing disqualifying misconduct. The claimant had not received disciplinary warnings in the past regarding similar conduct and had no reason to know that such a mistake would result in the end of her employment. The employer has not established that she engaged in job-related misconduct with respect to the reason for her discharge. The decision of the representative is affirmed.

DECISION:

The March 16, 2022, (reference 07) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the decision of the representative remains in effect. In the alternative, claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason, and benefits are allowed.

Alexis D. Rowe

Administrative Law Judge

Au DR

July 29, 2022
Decision Dated and Mailed

ar/mh

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at low a Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf Or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de low a §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.