IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

STEVEN J VARGAS

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 14A-UI-01858-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CASEY'S MARKETING COMPANY

Employer

OC: 01/26/14

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Steven Vargas (claimant) appealed a representative's February 14, 2014, decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit work with Casey's Marketing Company (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for March 11, 2014. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Diane Mitchels, Store Manager; Lindsay Prouty, Cashier; and Sarah Garland, Kitchen Manager.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on October 9, 2013, as a part-time cashier. On January 24, 2014, a customer called and complained about that he was overcharged. The customer threatened to beat the claimant with a baseball bat. The claimant was scared but the employer did not notify law enforcement. The customer called the claimant back about 45 minutes later and apologized because he found his receipt. The claimant worked until the end of his shift but felt unsafe. On January 26, 2014, the claimant resigned due to the incident.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the following reasons the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work with good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

The law presumes a claimant has left employment with good cause when it quits because of intolerable or detrimental working conditions. 871 IAC 24.26(4). It would be reasonable for the employee to inform the employer about the conditions the employee believes are intolerable or detrimental and to have the employee notify the employer that it intends to quit employment unless the conditions are corrected. This would allow the employer a chance to correct those conditions before a quit would occur. However, the Iowa Supreme Court has stated that a notice of intent to quit is not required when the employee quits due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions. Hy-vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Board and Diyonda L. Avant, (No. 86/04-0762) (Iowa Sup. Ct. November 18, 2005). The claimant notified the employer of the threat. The claimant subsequently quit due to those conditions. The claimant is eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

DECISION:

The representative's February 14, 2014, decision (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant voluntarily quit with good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant is eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
bas/pjs	