BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Lucas State Office Building, 4TH Floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319 eab.iowa.gov

RANDY ROLLINS

HEARING NUMBER: 22B-UI-07498

Claimant

Ċ

and : **EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD**

DECISION

MAJESTIC LIMOUSINE SVC LLC

:

Employer

SECTION: 10A.601 Employment Appeal Board Review

DECISION

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The notice of hearing in this matter was mailed March 28, 2022. The notice set a hearing for April 12, 2022. The Claimant did not appear for or participate in the hearing. The reason the Claimant did not appear is because he was unable to complete the call using the pin provided. He used the pin for his later hearing to gain access to the first hearing, but the first hearing had already concluded and he was unable to get through.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 10A.601(4) (2019) provides:

4. Appeal board review. The appeal board may on its own motion affirm, modify, or set aside any decision of an administrative law judge on the basis of the evidence previously submitted in such case, or direct the taking of additional evidence, or may permit any of the parties to such decision to initiate further appeals before it. The appeal board shall permit such further appeal by any of the parties interested in a decision of an administrative law judge and by the representative whose decision has been overruled or modified by the administrative law judge. The appeal board shall review the case pursuant to rules adopted by the appeal board. The appeal board shall promptly notify the interested parties of its findings and decision.

Here the Claimant did not participate in the hearing because he was unable to access the administrative law judge using the pin provided on the Notice of Hearing. He tried to comply with the notice instructions, but was unable for the time he called to participate. Based on this circumstance, we find the Claimant has established his intention to follow through with the appeal process. For this reason, the matter will be remanded for another hearing before an administrative law judge so that the Claimant may avail himself of his due process right.

We caution the Claimant that, barring exceptional circumstances, we will not again excuse a failure to participate in the hearing as scheduled.

DECISION:

The decision of the administrative law judge dated April 15, 2022 is not vacated and remains in force unless and until the Department makes a differing determination pursuant to this remand. This matter is remanded to an administrative law judge in the Workforce Development Center, Appeals Section. The administrative law judge shall conduct a hearing following due notice. After the hearing, the administrative law judge shall issue a decision which provides the parties appeal rights.

James M. Strohman		
Ashley R. Koopmans		
Myron R. Linn		

AMG/fnv