

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JAIRON V FLORES
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-16568-AT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 11/21/10
Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Section 96.3-4 – Computation of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Jairon V. Flores filed a timely appeal from a monetary determination dated November 29, 2010, that established his weekly and maximum benefit amounts. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held December 22, 2010 with Mr. Flores participating and presenting additional testimony by Olga Flores. Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

Should additional wage credits be added to the claimant's base period?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having examined all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Jairon V. Flores filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective November 21, 2010. The base period upon which his benefits are computed is the period from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010. Only wages paid during those four quarters may be used in computing his benefits.

Between April 1, 2010 and June 30, 2010 Mr. Flores was paid wages in the amount of \$3,855.00 by Ten Point Construction and \$2,722.00 by Shelby County Cookers. Wages paid by Ten Point Construction on or after July 1, 2010 are not part of the base period.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question raised in the appeal is whether additional wage credits should be added to Mr. Flores' unemployment insurance claim filed effective November 21, 2010. From the testimony and documentary evidence submitted by the claimant, the administrative law judge concludes that his wages have been reported accurately. Iowa Code section 96.3-4 does not allow the Agency to use wages paid to Mr. Flores after June 30, 2010 in computing his present unemployment insurance benefits.

DECISION:

The monetary determination dated November 29, 2010, is affirmed. The claimant's benefits have been computed accurately.

Dan Anderson
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css