

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

DONNELL HOPGOD
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 15A-UI-02252-JTT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

QPS EMPLOYMENT GROUP INC
Employer

OC: 01/18/15
Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code Section 96.6-2 - Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the February 10, 2015, reference 03, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant provided he was otherwise eligible and that held the employer's account could be charged for benefits, based on an Agency conclusion that the employer's protest was untimely. A hearing was scheduled for March 26, 2015 and the parties were appropriately notified. The employer provided a telephone number for the hearing and was available for the hearing. The claimant did not provide a number for the hearing. Upon review of the administrative file, the administrative law judge concluded that a decision granting the requested remedy could be entered without need for a hearing. The following decision is entered based on the Agency's administrative record of the employer's protest and record concerning the claimant's requalification for benefits since separating from the employment.

ISSUE:

Whether the employer's protest of the claim for benefits was timely.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The employer has elected to receive electronic notice of claims. On January 23, 2015, Iowa Workforce transmitted to the employer a notice of claim concerning the above claimant. The notice of claim provided a February 5, 2015 protest deadline. On February 5, 2015, the electronic broker documented receipt of the employer's protest at 18:29, 6:29 p.m. The claimant has requalified for benefits since separating from the employment in 2013.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

(1) Except as otherwise provided by statute or by department rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the department shall be considered received by and filed with the department:

- a. If transmitted via the United States postal service or its successor, on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
- b. If transmitted by any means other than the United States postal service or its successor, on the date it is received by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

- (2) The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the department that the delay in submission was due to department error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service or its successor.
 - a. For submission that is not within the statutory or regulatory period to be considered timely, the interested party must submit a written explanation setting forth the circumstances of the delay.
 - b. The department shall designate personnel who are to decide whether an extension of time shall be granted.
 - c. No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the department after considering the circumstances in the case.

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

- 2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

Another portion of this same Code section dealing with timeliness of an appeal from a representative's decision states that such an appeal must be filed within ten days after notification of that decision was mailed. In addressing an issue of timeliness of an appeal under that portion of this Code section, the Iowa Supreme Court held that this statute prescribing the time for notice of appeal clearly limits the time to do so, and that compliance with the appeal notice provision is mandatory and jurisdictional. Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). The administrative law judge considers the reasoning and holding of the court to be controlling on this portion of that same Iowa Code section which deals with a time limit in which to file a protest after notification of the filing of the claim has been mailed.

The evidence in the record establishes that the employer's protest was timely because it was transmitted by the employer and received by the electronic broker on February 5, 2015. Because the protest was timely and because the claimant has requalified for benefits since separating from the employment, the employer's account will be relieved of liability for benefits. The claimant remains eligible for benefits, provided he meets all other eligibility requirements.

DECISION:

The February 10, 2015, reference 03, decision is reversed. The employer's protest was timely. The employer's account will be relieved of liability for benefits. The claimant remains eligible for benefits, provided he meets all other eligibility requirements.

James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/pjs