

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS & APPEALS
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
Lucas State Office Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Appeal Number: 07-IWDUI-034
OC: 08/07/05
Claimant: Appellant (2)

DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

WILLIAM R MATYA
906 30TH AVENUE
EAST MOLINE IL 61244

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
INVESTIGATION AND RECOVERY
1000 EAST GRAND AVENUE
DES MOINES IA 50319-0209

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to the Department . If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

DAN ANDERSON, IWD

(Administrative Law Judge)

March 23, 2007

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.16-4 - Misrepresentation
Section 96.3-7 - Recovery of Overpayments

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from an Iowa Workforce Development decision dated February 14, 2007, reference 01, which held that the claimant was overpaid unemployment benefits in the amount of \$337.00, because he failed to report wages earned with Luse-Stevenson CO for the week ending February 25, 2006.

After due notice was issued, a hearing was scheduled for a telephone conference call on March 19,

2007. The claimant participated. Tom Carnahan, Investigator, participated for Iowa Workforce Development, Investigation and Recovery. Official Notice was taken of Claimant's Exhibit A.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having examined all of the evidence in the record, finds: The claimant filed a claim for unemployment benefits with an effective date of August 7, 2005. The claimant claimed for and received unemployment benefits of \$337 for the week ending February 25, 2006.

The department audited the claimant's unemployment claim for the first quarter of 2006. A Luse-Stevenson CO representative reported to the department that it paid gross wages of \$2,430 to the claimant for the week ending February 25 2006. After the department compared the employer's wage report against the claimant's claim for the same week, it issued a decision that he was overpaid benefits \$337.

After the claimant appealed, he submitted a hand written final pay stub that an employer representative issued to him in the field on his last day of employment, February 18, 2006. When Investigator Carnahan was informed about the new information, he proposed a stipulation that the claimant's final pay was earned prior to his last day, and that the overpayment should be rescinded. The claimant agreed to the stipulation, and a proposed decision that the overpayment be rescinded.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant is overpaid benefits \$337, and if so whether it is the result of misrepresentation.

Iowa Code Section 96.16-4 provides:

4. Misrepresentation. An individual who, by reason of the nondisclosure or misrepresentation by the individual or by another of a material fact, has received any sum as benefits under this chapter while any conditions for the receipt of benefits imposed by this chapter were not fulfilled in the individual's case, or while the individual was disqualified from receiving benefits, shall, in the discretion of the department, either be liable to have the sum deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual under this chapter or shall be liable to repay to the department for the unemployment compensation fund, a sum equal to the amount so received by the individual. If the department seeks to recover the amount of the benefits by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to that amount, the department may file a lien with the county recorder in favor of the state on the individual's property and rights to property, whether real or personal. The amount of the lien shall be collected in a manner similar to the provisions for the collection of past-due contributions in section 96.14, subsection 3.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The division of job service in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits

payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the division a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the division determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is NOT overpaid benefits \$337 for the week ending February 25, 2006 pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.16-4. The parties agreed to a stipulation of fact without a formal hearing that the claimant did not earn any wages after his lay-off on February 18, 2006, such that the overpayment should be rescinded.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated February 14, 2007, reference 01, is REVERSED. The claimant is NOT overpaid benefits \$337 due to misrepresentation.

rls