

**BEFORE THE
EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
Lucas State Office Building
Fourth floor
Des Moines, Iowa 50319**

STEVEN M PARSONS

Claimant,

and

**BLACK HAWK WASTE DISPOSAL CO
INC**

Employer.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

HEARING NUMBER: 10B-UI-04236

**EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
DECISION**

N O T I C E

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A **REHEARING REQUEST** shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2A

D E C I S I O N

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member concurring, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

John A. Peno

Elizabeth L. Seiser

CONCURRING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:

I agree with my fellow board members that the administrative law judge's decision should be affirmed. However, I would also comment that the only evidence in the record was that of the claimant. Had the employer participated in the hearing, and provided evidence to support their side, the outcome of this case may have been different. For future reference, it behooves the employer to follow through with the instructions on the Notice of Hearing so as to present the best case possible before the administrative law judge.

Monique F. Kuester

AMG/fnv

A portion of the employer's appeal to the Employment Appeal Board consisted of additional evidence which was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge. While the appeal and additional evidence (documents) were reviewed, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision.

John A. Peno

Monique F. Kuester

Elizabeth L. Seiser

AMG/fnv