### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

SUSANNE WINFRED Claimant

# APPEAL NO: 06A-UI-10801-ET

#### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AMENDED DECISION

ADVANCE SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 09-24-06 R: 03 Claimant: Respondent (2)

Section 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving 871 IAC 24.26(19 & 22) – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.5-1-j – Reassignment from Employer Section 96.5-3-a – Work Refusal Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

## STATEMENT OF CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the October 27, 2006, reference 03, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on December 6, 2006. The claimant participated in the hearing with her husband, James Winfred. Tracey Davis, Office Manager, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer. Employer's Exhibit One was admitted into evidence.

## ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily left her employment, whether she sought reassignment from the employer and whether she refused an offer of suitable work.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time general production worker for Advance Services last assigned to Cardinal Glass from June 7, 2006 to September 25, 2006. The assignment ended because the client experienced a work slowdown beginning September 22, 2006. On September 25, 2006, Office Manager Tracey Davis called the claimant to notify her that the assignment ended. Ms. Davis asked if the claimant wanted the employer to pursue other employment for her and the claimant stated she did not want to work anywhere else because she did not have transportation to any other work site. On November 7, 2006, Ms. Davis called the claimant stated she was going back to school in three weeks (Employer's Exhibit One) and could not work because she has two children and did not believe she could study, take care of her children and home and work full-time. The claimant attends school from 8:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. Monday through Thursday and believes she might be able to work part-time.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits since her separation from this employer.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's separation was not disqualifying but she did not seek reassignment and did refuse suitable work.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter. To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

(1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.

(2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:

(1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.

(2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

(3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

The claimant completed the contract of hire with the employer because she worked until she was laid off due to the client's work shortage. Ms. Davis told the claimant it had other work available when she called to tell her the assignment was ending but the claimant declined, stating she did not have transportation to any other work sites. When Ms. Davis called the claimant November 7, 2006, to tell her Cardinal Glass was recalling employees the claimant informed her she was going to start school in three weeks and could not manage her home, children, school and a full-time job. Inasmuch as the claimant completed the contract of hire with the employer but did not seek or accept reassignment from the employer, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. Consequently, benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant failed to seek or accept work from the employer, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of Iowa law.

#### DECISION:

The October 27, 2006, reference 03, decision is reversed. The claimant's separation from employment was for no disqualifying reason but she did not seek or accept other employment

from the employer and does not appear to be able and available for work although that issue is moot because the claimant is not eligible for benefits. Therefore, benefits are denied. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$573.00.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/css/css