## BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

LINDSEY M PESEK

**HEARING NUMBER: 13B-UI-14332** 

Claimant,

•

and

EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD DECISION

**CASEY'S MARKETING COMPANY** 

Employer.

### NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-2A

#### DECISION

#### UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

John A. Peno	)	

# DISSENTING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:

I respectfully dissent from the decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the decision of
the administrative law judge. I would find that the claimant consumed a piece of pizza without first paying
for it, which was clearly in violation of a 'known' company rule. The fact that she paid for the first piece
corroborates that she had knowledge of the company policy. For that reason, I would deny benefits.

Monique F. Kuester

AMG/fnv