

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

DAPHNE R HADENFELDT
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-08924-JTT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

OC: 07/27/08 R: 01
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code Section 96.3(7) - Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Daphne Hadenfeldt filed a timely appeal from the September 29, 2008, reference 02, decision that she was overpaid \$2,824.00 for eight weeks between July 27, 2008 and September 20, 2008. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on October 20, 2008. Ms. Hadenfeldt participated. The administrative law judge took official notice of the Agency's administrative record of benefits paid to the claimant. The hearing in this matter was consolidated with the hearing in Appeal Number 08A-UI-08923-JTT and the administrative law judge hereby takes official notice of the decision entered in that matter.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was overpaid \$2,824.00 for eight weeks between July 27, 2008 and September 20, 2008.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Daphne Hadenfeldt established a claim for benefits that was effective July 27, 2008. Ms. Hadenfeldt received \$2,824.00 in benefits for eight weeks between July 27, 2008 and September 20, 2008.

The overpayment decision at issue in this matter was prompted by the September 25, 2008, reference 01 decision that denied benefits in connection with a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to the employer. That decision has been affirmed on appeal. See Appeal Number 08A-UI-08923-JTT.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Because the disqualification decision that prompted the overpayment decision has been affirmed on appeal, the administrative law judge concludes that Ms. Hadenfeldt was overpaid \$2,824.00 for eight weeks between July 27, 2008 and September 20, 2008.

DECISION:

The Agency representatives September 29, 2008, reference 02, decision is affirmed. The claimant was overpaid \$2,824.00 for eight weeks between July 27, 2008 and September 20, 2008.

James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/pjs