IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

TIM V CONKLIN

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-01373-JTT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

STEVE'S ACE HARDWARE INC STEVE'S ACE HARDWARE

Employer

OC: 12/16/07 R: 04 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code Section 96.3(5) – Duration of Benefits 871 IAC 24.29 – Business Closing 871 IAC 26.8(5) – Decision on the Record

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Tim Conklin filed a timely appeal from the February 1, 2008, reference 04, decision that denied his request to have his benefits redetermined as being based on a layoff due to a business closing. A telephone hearing was scheduled for February 25, 2008 and the parties were properly notified. The appellant provided a telephone number for the hearing, but was not available at that number at the scheduled time of the hearing. The employer was available for the hearing through Judy Selchert. Based on the appellant's failure to participate in the hearing, the administrative file, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision.

ISSUE:

Decision on the record.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. The appellant, Tim Conklin, responded to the hearing notice instructions and provided a telephone number at which he could be reached for the hearing: 563-590-7818. However, at the scheduled time of the hearing, the appellant was not available at the telephone number he provided. The appellant did not request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. The administrative law judge made two attempts to reach Mr. Conklin at the number he provided and left an appropriate voice mail message on each attempt.

The administrative law judge has conducted a careful review of the administrative file to determine whether the unemployment insurance decision should be affirmed.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

871 IAC 26.8(3), (4) and (5) provide:

Withdrawals and postponements.

- (3) If, due to emergency or other good cause, a party, having received due notice, is unable to attend a hearing or request postponement within the prescribed time, the presiding officer may, if no decision has been issued, reopen the record and, with notice to all parties, schedule another hearing. If a decision has been issued, the decision may be vacated upon the presiding officer's own motion or at the request of a party within 15 days after the mailing date of the decision and in the absence of an appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals. If a decision is vacated, notice shall be given to all parties of a new hearing to be held and decided by another presiding officer. Once a decision has become final as provided by statute, the presiding officer has no jurisdiction to reopen the record or vacate the decision.
- (4) A request to reopen a record or vacate a decision may be heard ex parte by the presiding officer. The granting or denial of such a request may be used as a grounds for appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals upon the issuance of the presiding officer's final decision in the case.
- (5) If good cause for postponement or reopening has not been shown, the presiding officer shall make a decision based upon whatever evidence is properly in the record.

The administrative law judge has carefully reviewed evidence in the record and concludes that the unemployment insurance decision previously entered in this case is correct and should be affirmed.

Pursuant to the rule, the appellant must make a written request to the administrative law judge that the hearing be reopened within 15 days after the mailing date of this decision. The written request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at the address listed at the beginning of this decision and must explain the emergency or other good cause that prevented the appellant from participating in the hearing at its scheduled time.

DECISION:

The Agency representatives February 1, 2008, reference 04, decision is affirmed. The decision that denied the claimant's request to have his benefits redetermined as being based on a layoff due to a business closing benefits remains in effect. This decision will become final unless a

Page 3 Appeal No. 08A-UI-01373-JTT

written reques	t establishing	good cause t	o reopen	the record	is made	to the	administrativ	e law
judge within 15	days of the d	ate of this de	cision.					

James E. Timberland Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/css