#### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

<b>PAIGE LIGOURI</b> Claimant	APPEAL 22A-UI-04209-AW-T ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
JOHNSTON COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT Employer	OC: 06/07/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Filing – Timely Appeal Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35 – Filing Iowa Code § 96.4(5) – Reasonable Assurance

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the January 20, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits effective June 7, 2020 finding claimant was not eligible for benefits between academic years or terms. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on March 24, 2022. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Kayla Badtram, Benefits Manager. No exhibits were admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

#### **ISSUES:**

Whether claimant filed a timely appeal. Whether claimant is eligible for benefits between academic years or terms.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

The decision was mailed to claimant at her address of record on January 20, 2021. Claimant was not residing at that address at the time. Claimant had not updated her address with Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) because she did not yet have a permanent address. Claimant eventually received a copy of the decision but does not recall the date of receipt. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by IWD Appeals Section by January 30, 2021. Claimant did not appeal the decision. Claimant appealed a subsequent overpayment decision online on February 3, 2022. IWD received the appeal on February 3, 2022 and applied it to all adverse decisions including the January 20, 2021 denial decision. Claimant gave no other reason for the delay in submitting the appeal.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes:

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion? *Hendren v. IESC*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. IESC*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973).

Claimant received the decision but did not appeal it. Claimant has not established that her failure to appeal was due to agency error or misinformation or delay of the United States Postal Service. The appeal was not timely. Therefore, the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal.

## **DECISION:**

Claimant's appeal was not timely. The administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of the representative. The January 20, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed.

il Min

Adrienne C. Williamson Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

March 30, 2022 Decision Dated and Mailed

acw/ACW