# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**SUSAN WIDMANN** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-07207-BT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 06/08/08 R: 03 Claimant: Appellant (4)

Section 96.3-7 - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Susan Widmann (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated August 6, 2008, reference 02, which held that she was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$169.00 as a result of a disqualification decision. Due notice was issued scheduling the matter for a telephone hearing to be held August 25, 2008. Because a decision could be made based on information contained within the record, a hearing was deemed not necessary. Based on the evidence and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

## ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was overpaid \$169.00 in unemployment insurance benefits for the one-week period ending June 21, 2008?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The overpayment issue in this case was created by a disqualification decision that has now been modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant was overpaid \$169.00 in unemployment insurance benefits for the week ending June 21, 2008 but was underpaid \$169.00 for the week ending June 28, 2008.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from

any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this states pursuant to section 602.10101.

The administrative law judge concludes that the claimant has not been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$169.00 pursuant to lowa Code section 96.3-7 as the disqualification decision that created the overpayment decision has now been modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant was overpaid \$169.00 for the week ending June 21, 2008 but was underpaid \$169.00 for the week ending June 28, 2008. Therefore, it results in no overpayment.

## **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated August 6, 2008, reference 02, is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant was not overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$169.00.

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/css