

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

BAHLOL MUZAMIL
Claimant

APPEAL NO: 14A-UI-01362-BT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

HOUSE OF AROMAS LLC
Employer

OC: 12/08/13
Claimant: Appellant (1/R)

Iowa Code §§ 96.3(4) and 96.3(5) - Benefits Based on Wages Paid During Base Period

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Bahlol Muzamil (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated February 3, 2014, reference 02, which denied his request to have wages added to his unemployment insurance claim for the period beginning July 1, 2012, through June 30, 2013. Due notice was issued scheduling the matter for a telephone hearing to be held February 27, 2014. No hearing was held and the case will be remanded for further review.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's request to have additional wages added to his unemployment insurance claim should be granted.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard reviewed and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: As of the date of the hearing, the administrative file was unavailable for review so it cannot be determined what information was reviewed prior to making the fact-finding decision.

The claimant contends the employer failed to properly and accurately report all of the wages he earned in 2012 and 2013. He contends the employer paid him and his brother in the same weekly paycheck. The following is what the employer reported in quarterly wages compared to what the claimant contends he earned.

Employer reported to IWD:

Claimant reported:

2012/3	\$ 3,000.00	\$10,500.00
2012/4	\$10,000.00	\$14,350.00
2013/1	\$ 4,500.00	\$11,150.00
2013/2	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 8,500.00
2013/3	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,500.00

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant's request to have wages added to his claim should be approved. A claimant's eligibility for unemployment insurance benefits is based on the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. See Iowa Code § 96.3(5). Since there is insufficient evidence that can be determined at this level to suggest there are additional wages that should be added to the claimant's claim, the decision must be affirmed but the case will be remanded for further review.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated February 3, 2014, reference 02, is affirmed. The claimant's request to have wages added to his unemployment insurance claim is denied. This case is remanded for an investigation and determination on the wage issues.

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/pjs