

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

RONNIE D LECKBAND
2941 S MARTHA ST
SIOUX CITY IA 51106

A & A OF CLEAR LAKE INC
102 – 9TH ST SW
AUSTIN MN 55912

Appeal Number: 06A-UI-01560-DWT
OC: 11/27/05 R: 02
Claimant: Respondent (4)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

871 IAC 24.9(2)c – Newly Discovered Information

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

A & A of Clear Lake, Inc. (employer) appealed a representative's February 6, 2006 decision (reference 01) that concluded Ronnie D. Leckband (claimant) was eligible to receive benefits even though he declined the employer's offer of work. Prior to a scheduled February 27, 2006 hearing, the February 6 decision was amended and declared null and void.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

On February 6, 2006, a representative's decision decided the claimant refused an offer of work the employer made to the claimant in early January 2006. On February 14, 2006, another decision (reference 02) was issued, which amended the February 6, 2006 decision. The February 14 decision indicated the February 6 decision had been issued in error because the wrong employer was listed. As a result of an administrative error, the Claims Section declared on February 14 that the earlier February 6, 2006 decision was null and void.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

As the result of a clerical or data entry error, the wrong employer was listed as the employer who offered the claimant a job in early January 2006. The first decision issued on February 6, 2006, is declared null and void because the employer has had no involvement with the claimant.

DECISION:

The representative's February 6, 2006 (reference 01) is null and void as declared by a February 14, 2006 decision (reference 02).

dlw/tjc