# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**DUAL C DENG** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 18R-UI-02334-JE-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

SWIFT PORK COMPANY

Employer

OC: 12/10/17

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the December 10, 2017, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on March 16, 2018. The claimant participated in the hearing with CTS Language Link Interpreter Peter. Nicholas Aguirre, Human Resources Director, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer. Employer's Exhibit One was admitted into evidence.

#### ISSUE:

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-connected misconduct.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time general laborer for Swift Pork Company from June 11, 2012 to December 13, 2017. On December 7, 2017, the claimant was involved in a physical altercation with his supervisor. The claimant was angry and pushed his supervisor. The claimant was sent to the human resources office where he continued to act aggressively toward the supervisor. The claimant signed his written statement that was translated into English which stated, "I pushed at Francisco (supervisor) like "why did you feed me the hog. Why are you trying to make me fall?" The claimant's actions violated the employer policy prohibiting workplace violence. The employer suspended the claimant pending investigation of the incident and terminated his employment December 13, 2017.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The employer has the burden of proving disqualifying misconduct. *Cosper v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). A claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if an employer has discharged him for reasons constituting work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a. Misconduct that disqualifies an individual from receiving unemployment insurance benefits occurs when there are deliberate acts or omissions that constitute a material breach of the worker's duties and obligations to the employer. See 871 IAC 24.32(1).

The claimant was upset with his supervisor and pushed him, which was a violation of the employer's prohibition against workplace violence. A single violation of that policy results in immediate termination. While the claimant now denies pushing his supervisor, his written statement, translated into English, clearly demonstrates he pushed his supervisor.

Under these circumstances, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's conduct demonstrated a willful disregard of the standards of behavior the employer has the right to expect of employees and shows an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests and the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. The employer has met its burden of proving disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. IDJS*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). Therefore, benefits are denied.

## **DECISION:**

The December 10, 2017, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/scn