IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

RAYMOND T QUINCY

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-08102-AT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

USA STAFFING INC

Employer

Original Claim: 03/14/10 Claimant: Appellant (2-R)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Raymond T. Quincy filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated May 24, 2010, reference 01, that disqualified him for benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held July 22, 2010, with Mr. Quincy participating. His former employer, USA Staffing, Inc., did not respond to the hearing notice. The administrative law judge takes official note of agency wage records.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant leave work with good cause attributable to the employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witness and having examined all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Raymond T. Quincy's employment with USA Staffing, Inc. ended on January 8, 2010, because the employer had no more work available for him. He later worked for Spherion Staffing and has since relocated from Iowa to Texas. The agency has made no initial determination of the unemployment insurance consequences of the separation from employment with Spherion Staffing.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question before the administrative law judge is whether Mr. Quincy's separation from employment with USA Staffing was a disqualifying event. It was not. The evidence before the administrative law judge establishes that the separation occurred on January 8, 2010, because of a lack of work. No disqualification is imposed.

The question of the unemployment insurance consequences of Mr. Quincy's separation from subsequent employment with Spherion Staffing is remanded to the Unemployment Insurance Services Division.

Page 2 Appeal No. 10A-UI-08102-AT

DECISION:

The une	mp	loyment	ins	urance	decision	dated	May	24, 20	10, refer	ence	01, i	s re	ver	sed.	The
claimant	is	entitled	to	receive	unemp	loyment	insu	ırance	benefits	, pro	vided	he	is	othe	rwise
eligible.															

Dan Anderson Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

kjw/kjw