IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

SHAYLA E JOHNSON

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-16261-AD-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

P J IOWA LC

Employer

OC: 04/18/21

Claimant: Respondent (2)

lowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a - Discharge for Misconduct

lowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On July 23, 2021, P J lowa LC (employer/respondent) filed an appeal from the July 22, 2021 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based on a finding that claimant left work for compelling personal reasons and no work was available when she returned.

A telephone hearing was held on September 14, 2021. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. Employer participated by Area Manager Nate Cornelius. Shayla Johnson (claimant/respondent) did not register a number for the hearing or participate. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUE(S):

- I. Was the separation a layoff, discharge for misconduct, or voluntary quit without good cause?
- II. Was the claimant overpaid benefits? Should claimant repay benefits and/or charge employer due to employer participation in fact finding?
- III. Is the claimant eligible for Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

Claimant worked for employer as a part-time delivery driver. Claimant's first day of employment was March 9, 2021. The last day claimant worked on the job was March 23, 2021. Claimant was scheduled for six days after that but did not appear for her shifts or call in to report her absences. Schedules are provided electronically and available in person. Employer tried to reach claimant on the days she was absent but was unable to reach her. Employer does not know the reason for the absences.

The unemployment insurance system shows claimant has received unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$1,915.00 since the date of separation. Claimant has also received Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) in the amount of \$2,400.00 since the date of separation.

The administrative record shows notice of a fact-finding interview was mailed to employer on July 6, 2021 for an interview set for July 20, 2021 at 9:20 a.m. Employer was not available at that time and did not call back in to participate in the fact-finding interview.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons set forth below, the July 22, 2021 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based on a finding that claimant left work for compelling personal reasons and no work was available when she returned is REVERSED.

I. Was the separation a layoff, discharge for misconduct, or voluntary quit without good cause?

lowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25 provides in relevant part:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. lowa Code § 96.6(2). The employer has the burden of proving that a claimant's departure from employment was voluntary. *Irving v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 883 N.W.2d 179 (lowa 2016). "In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer". Id. (citing *Cook v. Iowa Dept. of Job Service*, 299 N.W.2d 698, 701 (lowa 1980)).

"Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not to the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Industrial Relations Commission*, 277 S.2d 827 (Florida App. 1973). While a notice of intent to quit is not required to obtain unemployment benefits where the claimant quits due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions, the case for good cause is stronger where the employee

complains, asks for correction or accommodation, and employer fails to respond. *Hy-Vee Inc. v. EAB*, 710 N.W.2d 1 (lowa 2005).

lowa unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants who voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer or who are discharged for work-connected misconduct. lowa Code §§ 96.5(1) and 96.5(2)a. A voluntary quitting of employment requires that an employee exercise a voluntary choice between remaining employed or terminating the employment relationship. Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (lowa 1989); Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 492 N.W.2d 438, 440 (lowa Ct. App. 1992). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980).

In this case, the claimant did not have the option of remaining employed nor did he express intent to terminate the employment relationship. Where there is no expressed intention or act to sever the relationship, the case must be analyzed as a discharge from employment. *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (lowa Ct. App. 1992).

Employer has carried its burden of proving claimant's departure from employment was voluntary. However, claimant has not carried her burden of proving the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to employer. The administrative law judge finds claimant abandoned her position by being absent for six consecutive shifts without notice and failing to communicate with employer or attempt to return after. Claimant has not established a good cause reason for resigning attributable to employer. Benefits are therefore denied from the date of separation, March 23, 2021.

II. Was the claimant overpaid benefits? Should claimant repay benefits and/or charge employer due to employer participation in fact finding?

lowa Code section 96.3(7) provides, in pertinent part:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

The unemployment insurance system shows claimant has received unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$1,915.00 since the date of separation. Because claimant has now been found disqualified for benefits, she has been overpaid benefits in that amount. However, because employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview within the meaning of lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 and the overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment, benefits shall not be recovered from claimant and employer's account shall be charged.

III. Is the claimant eligible for federal pandemic unemployment compensation?

PL116-136, Sec. 2104 provides, in pertinent part:

- (b) Provisions of Agreement
- (1) Federal pandemic unemployment compensation.--Any agreement under this section shall provide that the State agency of the State will make payments of regular compensation to individuals in amounts and to the extent that they would be determined if the State law of the State were applied, with respect to any week for which the individual is (disregarding this section) otherwise entitled under the State law to receive regular compensation, as if such State law had been modified in a manner such that the amount of regular compensation (including dependents' allowances) payable for any week shall be equal to
- (A) the amount determined under the State law (before the application of this paragraph), plus

(B) an additional amount of \$600 (in this section referred to as "Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation").

. . . .

- (f) Fraud and Overpayments
- (2) Repayment.--In the case of individuals who have received amounts of Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation to which they were not entitled, the State shall require such individuals to repay the amounts of such Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation to the State agency...

Claimant has received Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) in the amount of \$2,400.00 since the date of separation. Because the claimant is disqualified from receiving regular unemployment insurance (UI) benefits, she is ineligible for FPUC. Claimant has therefore been overpaid FPUC in the amount of \$2,400.00. That amount is subject to recovery.

DECISION:

The July 22, 2021 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based on a finding that claimant left work for compelling personal reasons and no work was available when she returned is REVERSED. The separation from employment on March 23, 2021 was disqualifying. This disqualification shall continue until claimant has earned insured wages in an amount at least ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is not otherwise disqualified or ineligible at that time.

Claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$1,915.00. For the reasons set forth above, benefits shall not be recovered from claimant and employer's account shall be charged.

Claimant was overpaid FPUC in the amount of \$2,400.00. That amount is subject to recovery.

Andrew B. Duffelmeyer

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515) 478-3528

<u>September 21, 2021</u>

Decision Dated and Mailed

abd/mh

Note to Claimant:

If you disagree with this decision, you may file an appeal with the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. If this decision denies benefits, you may be responsible for paying back benefits already received.

Individuals who are disqualified from or are otherwise ineligible for <u>regular</u> unemployment insurance benefits but who are unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information.