IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

LIECEN RIANTO

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-10418-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PANDA EXPRESS INC

Employer

OC: 07/15/12

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Liecen Rianto (claimant) appealed a representative's August 22, 2012 decision (reference 02) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit work with Panda Express (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for September 25, 2012. The claimant participated personally and through his brother and former co-worker, Ripin Rianto. The employer participated by Vickie Smith, Regional Human Resources Manager.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on September 17, 2007, as a full-time general manager. The claimant did not feel appreciated as a general manager. The supervisor always found something wrong with his work. On June 30, 2012, the claimant told the employer that July 14, 2012, would be his last day. He told the employer he was quitting to pursue other opportunities. Continued work was available had the claimant not resigned.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(21) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by his words and actions. He told the employer that he was leaving and quit work. When an employee quits work because he is dissatisfied with the work environment, His leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work because he did not like his work environment. His leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

bas/pjs

The representative's August 22, 2012 decision (reference 02) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	