

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section  
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

TERESA I HALBLOM  
233 S WARD  
OTTUMWA IA 52501

EXCEL CORPORATION  
c/o FRICK UC EXPRESS  
PO BOX 283  
ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-06502-S2T  
OC: 05/02/04 R: 03  
Claimant: Respondent (2)

**This Decision Shall Become Final**, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

---

(Administrative Law Judge)

---

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit  
Section 96.3-7 - Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Excel Corporation (employer) appealed a representative's June 1, 2004 decision (reference 01) that concluded Teresa Halblom (claimant) was discharged and there was no evidence of willful or deliberate misconduct. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on July 8, 2004. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Nicholas Statler, Human Resources Assistant Manager. Adriana Cobos observed the hearing.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on April 17, 2001, as a full-time production worker. The claimant was working light duty due to an injury. On April 29, 2004, the employer placed the claimant on the kill floor in a light-duty position. The claimant refused and said she would quit if she had to work on the kill floor. The employer accommodated the claimant and placed her in the ham bone area on April 30, 2004. The claimant did not appear for work after May 4, 2004. She quit work because she thought it was intolerable working in the ham bone area.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. For the following reasons the administrative law judge concludes she did.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

- (4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

The law presumes a claimant has left employment with good cause when she quits because of intolerable or detrimental working conditions. 871 IAC 24.26(4). In order to show good cause for leaving employment based on intolerable or detrimental working conditions, an employee is required to take the reasonable step of informing the employer about the conditions the employee believes are intolerable or detrimental and that she intends to quit employment unless the conditions are corrected. The employer must be allowed a chance to correct those conditions before the employee takes the drastic step of quitting employment. Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board, 506 N.W.2d 445 (Iowa 1993). The claimant did not inform the employer of the working conditions she disliked nor that she intended to quit if the conditions were not corrected. Due to the claimant's failure to give the employer notice, there cannot be a finding that she left work with good cause attributable to the employer and, therefore, the claimant is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal

to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The claimant has received benefits in the amount of \$2,034.00 since filing her claim herein. Pursuant to this decision, those benefits now constitute an overpayment which must be repaid.

**DECISION:**

The representative's June 1, 2004 decision (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until she has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$2,034.00.

bas/tjc