IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

JOAN M BEAT 334 LAFAYETTE RD GROTON NY 13073

HY-VEE INC ^C/_o TALX UCM SERVICES INC PO BOX 283 SAINT LOUIS MO 63166-0283

STEPHEN GREENLEAF ATTORNEY AT LAW 1402 WILLOW CREEK CT PO BOX 1757 IOWA CITY IA 52244-1757

Appeal Number:04A-UI-11860-S2TOC:10/10/04R:12Claimant:Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

TALX UC EXPRESS 3799 VILLAGE RUN DR #511 DES MOINES IA 50317

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Hy-Vee, Inc. (employer) appealed a representative's October 28, 2004 decision (reference 01) that concluded Joan Beat (claimant) was eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on December 6, 2004. The claimant was represented by Stephen Greenleaf, Attorney at Law, and participated personally. The employer was represented by David Williams, Manager of Operations, and participated by Tiffany Yoder, Human Resources Manager. Heather Odegaard observed the hearing. The claimant offered one exhibit which was marked for identification as Exhibit A. Exhibit A was received into evidence.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on March 5, 2000, as a full-time bakery clerk. The claimant was injured in a work-related injury on or about April 1, 2003. The claimant was seated by the front door until she asked for less boring work back in the bakery. As time passed, the claimant began working outside her restrictions. To the employer's knowledge, the claimant was working within her restrictions. The claimant complained about her working conditions to her physician. On August 30, 2004, her physician said the claimant "needs to think seriously about changing careers." The physician never told the claimant she had to seek other employment.

On or about September 18, 2004, the claimant told the employer she was going to quit and her last day of work would be October 10, 2004. The claimant said she was quitting because she could not do the job she was hired to do and she was moving to New York. On or about September 27, 2004, the claimant told the employer she was quitting because her physician told her she should quit. The employer told the claimant she was surprised by this knowledge and investigated. The claimant left work on October 10, 2004, before the employer discovered any statement from the claimant's physician telling her to quit work. Continued work was available for the claimant had she not quit.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. For the following reasons the administrative law judge concludes she did.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(2) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(2) The claimant moved to a different locality.

871 IAC 24.25(33) provides:

(33) The claimant left because such claimant felt that the job performance was not to the satisfaction of the employer; provided, the employer had not requested the claimant to leave and continued work was available.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. <u>Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by her words and actions. She told the employer that she was leaving and quit work. When an employee quits work because she is moving to another state, her leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. Likewise when an employee quits work because she feels her job performance is not to the satisfaction of the employer even though continued work is available, her leaving is without good cause attributable to the employed cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work because she was moving to New York and she did not believe her job performance was satisfactory even though continued work was available. The claimant did not leave because her physician told her to quit. The claimant testified that her physician never told her she had to quit. The claimant's leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The claimant has received benefits in the amount of \$1,218.00 since filing her claim herein. Pursuant to this decision, those benefits now constitute an overpayment which must be repaid.

DECISION:

The representative's October 28, 2004 decision (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because she was discharged from work for misconduct. Benefits are withheld until she has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$1,218.00.

bas/b