IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

ERIC F DEERING Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-16324-JC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

TYSON FRESH MEATS INC Employer

> OC: 01/10/21 Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work lowa Code § 96.19(38)a & b – Total and Partial Unemployment lowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2) – Same Base Period Employment lowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant, Eric F. Deering, filed an appeal from the March 29, 2021 (reference 02) lowa Workforce Development ("IWD") unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A first telephone hearing was held on September 15, 2021. The claimant participated personally. The employer, Tyson Fresh Meats Inc., participated through Lori Direnzo. The hearing was postponed to allow claimant to resubmit his proposed exhibit.

The hearing was continued to September 21, 2021. The parties waived proper notice and notice of the issue of whether claimant filed a timely appeal. The hearing was held together with appeal 21A-UI-16324-JC-T. The claimant participated personally. The employer, Tyson Fresh Meats Inc., participated through Lori Direnzo.

The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative records. Claimant Exhibit A and Department Exhibit 1 were admitted. Based on the evidence, the arguments presented, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUES:

Is the appeal timely? Is the claimant able to work and available for work effective March 29, 2021?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed full-time in production beginning August 3, 2020. He earned \$18.00 per hour. He last physically worked on February 24, 2021, and was separated from employment on March 24, 2021. The issue of claimant's separation has been addressed in Appeal 21A-UI-16325-JC-T.

Claimant established his claim for benefits with an effective date of January 10, 2021. Claimant did not work full-time hours between January 10 and February 27, 2021. Employer reported the claimant's hours as follows:

Week ending January 16, 2021	14.5 hours
Week ending January 23, 2021	15.75 hours
Week ending January 30, 2021	24 hours
Week ending February 6, 2021	21.90 hours
Week ending February 13, 2021	0 hours
Week ending February 20, 2021	Short term disability
Week ending February 27, 2021	22.50 hours

Employer stated there was no reduction in work for any week listed above. Claimant stated his lack of hours was due to "COVID-19" (dates unknown), having exposure to COVID-19 (no dates provided) through his brother and others.

An initial decision denying benefits to claimant was mailed to claimant's address of record on March 29, 2021. The decision contained a warning that an appeal was due within ten days of the date of mailing. Claimant was incarcerated for a probation violation between February 28, 2021 and April 28, 2021. He did not make arrangements for his mail to be collected or forwarded to him. Claimant lived with his brother at the time. Claimant retrieved his mail after release but did not recall when. Claimant's appeal was filed on July 25, 2021 (See Department Exhibit 1). There is no indication that claimant's appeal was delayed due to Agency or postal service error.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant failed to file a timely appeal.

lowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part:

Filing – determination – appeal.

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

Date of submission and extension of time for payments and notices.

(2) The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

a. For submission that is not within the statutory or regulatory period to be considered timely, the interested party must submit a written explanation setting forth the circumstances of the delay.

b. The division shall designate personnel who are to decide whether an extension of time shall be granted.

c. No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the department after considering the circumstances in the case.

d. If submission is not considered timely, although the interested party contends that the delay was due to division error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States postal service, the division shall issue an appealable decision to the interested party.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (lowa 1976).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott*, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Commin*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); *Smith v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Commin*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973).

In this case, claimant was released from incarceration on April 28, 2021, and had access to his mail upon released. Claimant waited almost three months after release to file his appeal. This is beyond a reasonable time frame, even if the administrative law judge considered April 28, 2021 as the starting date to calculate the appeal period. The administrative law judge concludes that failure to follow the clear written instructions to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the lowa Employment Security Law was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to lowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See, Beardslee v. *Iowa Dept of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979) and *Franklin v. Iowa Dept of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979).

DECISION:

The March 29, 2021, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the decision of the representative remains in effect.

Jennigu & Beckmar

Jennifer L. Beckman Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

<u>October 14, 2021</u> Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/mh

NOTE TO CLAIMANT: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits, but who are unemployed or continue to be unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information. If this decision becomes final or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits.

ATTENTION: On May 11, 2021, Governor Reynolds announced that lowa will end its participation in federal pandemic-related unemployment benefit programs effective June 12, 2021. The last payable week for PUA in lowa will be the week ending June 12, 2021. Additional information can be found in the press release at <u>https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/iowa-end-participation-federal-unemployment-benefit-programs-citing-strong-labor-market-and</u>.

You may find information about food, housing, and other resources at <u>https://covidrecoveryiowa.org/</u> or at <u>https://dhs.iowa.gov/node/3250</u>

lowa Finance Authority also has additional resources at <u>https://www.iowafinance.com/about/covid-19-ifa-recovery-assistance/</u>