

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

LISA M FLANDERS
Claimant

RUSTY SPOKE INC
Employer

APPEAL 15A-UI-08754-SC-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**OC: 12/21/14
Claimant: Respondent (2)**

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting
Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the July 31, 2015, (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based upon the determination the claimant voluntarily quit her employment due to a change in contract which is a good cause reason attributable to the employer. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on August 27, 2015. Claimant Lisa Flanders did not participate. Employer Rusty Spokes, Inc. participated through Vice President Ashli Anderson. Official notice was taken of the fact-finding documents submitted by the employer.

ISSUES:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

Has the claimant been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits, and if so, can the repayment of those benefits to the agency be waived?

Can charges to the employer's account be waived?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed full time as a manager beginning in April 2015, and was separated from employment on June 19, 2015, when she quit. The employer's Vice President Ashli Anderson discovered issues with regard to the claimant's employment in early June 2015. There was turnover at the employer's business and employees were hired who had personal ties to Anderson who notified her of deficiencies in the claimant's work performance.

Anderson began an investigation into the claimant's conduct. She discovered the cash drawer was often \$40.00 to \$60.00 short on the days the claimant worked, the claimant would arrive at work with no money and then leave with money, she would cancel out her bar tab for drinks and food she consumed during her shift, she would take tips from the servers, and she would drink

Fireball during her shift. Anderson contacted the alcohol supplier to reconcile the amount of Fireball ordered during the claimant's employment versus the amount of Fireball alcohol the employer sold. The employer had sold 12 bottles worth of Fireball during the claimant's employment. The information from the supplier said they had ordered 23 bottles of Fireball, which left 11 bottles unaccounted for. Anderson also received statements about the alleged misconduct from the other employees. On June 19, 2015, Anderson informed the claimant she was being demoted to bartender. The claimant sent a text message to Anderson later that afternoon stating she would not be accepting the bartender position as she had secured another management position elsewhere.

The administrative record reflects that claimant has received unemployment benefits in the amount of \$28.49, since the separation of her employment from this employer on June 19, 2015, for two weeks ending July 25, 2015. The administrative record also establishes that the employer provided written documentation that, without rebuttal, would have resulted in disqualification.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(1) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(27) and (28) provide:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(27) The claimant left rather than perform the assigned work as instructed.

(28) The claimant left after being reprimanded.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). While the circumstances might be considered a change in the contract of hire, the employer demoted the claimant due to misconduct. Misconduct is a “deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker’s contract of employment.” Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a. The employer provided credible and unrefuted evidence that the claimant was stealing from the employer and consuming alcohol while working. She was the manager of the business and this conduct constituted a material breach of the duties of her contract of employment. The employer’s action to demote the claimant rather than discharge her did not give the claimant a good-cause reason for leaving the employment. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code § 96.7 provides, in pertinent part:

7. Recover of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer’s account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department’s request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.

(b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual’s separation from employment.

871 IAC 24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) “Participate,” as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means

to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

(2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.

(3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in Iowa Code § 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to Iowa Code § 17A.19.

(4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code § 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which she was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were

not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits if it is determined that they did participate in the fact-finding interview. Iowa Code § 96.3(7), Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10. In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. Since the employer did participate in the fact-finding interview the claimant is obligated to repay to the agency the benefits she received and the employer's account shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The July 31, 2015 (reference 05) decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

The claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$28.49 and is obligated to repay the agency those benefits. The employer did participate in the fact-finding interview and its account shall not be charged.

Stephanie R. Callahan
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

src/css