IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MARIA D SANCHEZ

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-15662-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PACKERS SANITATION SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 10/30/11

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)d – Voluntary Leaving (Illness/Injury)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Maria Sanchez (claimant) appealed a representative's December 9, 2011 decision (reference 01) that concluded she was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because she voluntarily quit work with Packers Sanitation Services (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for January 9, 2012. The claimant participated personally through interpreter, Ninsa Redmond. The employer did not provide a telephone number where it could be reached and therefore, did not participate in the hearing.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired in October 2010, as a full-time laboer. The claimant worked with chemicals at work. She reported a reaction to the chemicals and the employer took her to the hospital where she was treated on November 9 and 10, 2010. The physician returned the claimant to work without restrictions. The claimant felt she was medically compromised by working with the chemicals but did not report any further medical issues or requests for accommodations to the employer. The claimant stopped appearing for work after December 14, 2010. Continued work was available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(6)b provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

- (6) Separation because of illness, injury or pregnancy.
- b. Employment related separation. The claimant was compelled to leave employment because of an illness, injury, or allergy condition that was attributable to the employment. Factors and circumstances directly connected with employment which caused or aggravated the illness, injury, allergy, or disease to the employee which made it impossible for the employee to continue in employment because of serious danger to the employee's health may be held to be an involuntary termination of employment and constitute good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant will be eligible for benefits if compelled to leave employment as a result of an injury suffered on the job.

In order to be eligible under this paragraph "b" an individual must present competent evidence showing adequate health reasons to justify termination; before quitting have informed the employer of the work-related health problem and inform the employer that the individual intends to quit unless the problem is corrected or the individual is reasonably accommodated. Reasonable accommodation includes other comparable work which is not injurious to the claimant's health and for which the claimant must remain available.

An individual who voluntarily leaves their employment due to an alleged work-related illness or injury must first give notice to the employer of the anticipated reasons for quitting in order to give the employer an opportunity to remedy the situation or offer an accommodation. *Suluki v. Employment Appeal Board*, 503 N.W.2d 402 (lowa 1993). An employee who receives a reasonable expectation of assistance from the employer after complaining about working conditions must complain further if conditions persist in order to preserve eligibility for benefits. *Polley v. Gopher Bearing Company*, 478 N.W.2d 775 (Minn. App. 1991).

Inasmuch as the claimant did not give the employer an opportunity to resolve her complaints prior to leaving employment, the separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The representative's December 9, 2011 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/pjs