IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

EVELYN M RICHARDS
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 17A-UI-09428-S1-T
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION

KERRY INC
Employer

OC: 09/11/16
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Evelyn Richards (claimant) appealed a representative's September 8, 2017, decision (reference 01) that concluded she was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits after her separation from employment with Kerry (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for October 2, 2017. The claimant participated personally. The employer did not provide a telephone number where it could be reached and therefore, did not participate in the hearing.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on September 30, 2008, as a full-time production operator. The claimant signed for receipt of the employer's handbook when she started work. During her last year of employment she accrued three points when she stayed home from work with her sick children. Her children are sixteen and thirteen years old. She was late for work three times on snowy days when she did not allow herself enough time to get to work. The employer issued the claimant two written warnings for attendance issues. The employer notified the claimant that further infractions could result in termination from employment.

On August 22, 2017, the claimant overslept and was fifty-two minutes late for work. The employer terminated the claimant for accumulating more than seven attendance points in a rolling twelve-month period.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged for misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. Higgins v. lowa Department of Job Service, 350 N.W.2d 187 (lowa 1984).

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified when and why the employee is unable to report to work. The employer has established that the claimant was warned that further unexcused absences could result in termination of employment and the final absence was not excused. The final absence, in combination with the claimant's history of unexcused absenteeism, is considered excessive. Benefits are withheld.

DECISION:

The representative's September 8, 2017, decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because the claimant was discharged from work for misconduct. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	