IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

RYAN L LOSS

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-08338-VST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE INC

Employer

OC: 05/08/11

Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated June 13, 2011, reference 03, which held the claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on July 18, 2011. The employer participated by Matt Hill, district manager. The claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice and did not participate. The record consists of the testimony of Matt Hill and Employer's Exhibits 1 through 8.

ISSUES:

Whether the claimant voluntarily left for good cause attributable to the employer; and

Whether the claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witness and having considered all of the evidence in the record, makes the following findings of fact:

The employer sells automotive repair parts. The claimant was a part-time employee at the employer's store in Waverly, Iowa. The claimant was hired on July 9, 2010. His last day of work was March 30, 2011. The claimant was a no-call/no-show on April 1, 2011; April 2, 2011; and April 4, 2011. The employer has a written policy, of which the claimant was aware, that if an employee misses three consecutive work days without notice, the employee is considered to have abandoned the job.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

A quit is a separation initiated by the employee. 871 IAC 24.1(113)(b). In general, a voluntary quit requires evidence of an intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act carrying out that intention. See <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 698, 612 (Iowa 1980) and <u>Peck v. EAB</u>, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa App. 1992). In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer. See 871 IAC 24.25.

The evidence is uncontroverted that it was the claimant who initiated the separation of employment. The claimant had three consecutive work days of no call/no show. The employer has a written policy that states that if an employee misses three consecutive work days without notice, a voluntary quit is presumed. The claimant was aware of this policy. The claimant did not participate in the hearing and his reasons for leaving his job are unknown. Benefits are denied since the claimant voluntarily left without good cause attributable to the employer.

The next issue is overpayment of benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in

the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The overpayment issue is remanded to the Claims Section for determination.

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated June 13, 2011, reference 03, is reversed. Unemployment insurance benefits are denied. The overpayment issue is remanded to the Claims Section for determination.

Vicki L. Seeck
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

vls/kjw