IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
SHAKIRA J COOPER Claimant	APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-04445-S2
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
HY-VEE INC Employer	
	OC: 03/24/13

Claimant: Respondent (2/R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Hy-Vee (employer) appealed a representative's April 5, 2013 decision (reference 01) that concluded Shakira Cooper (claimant) was discharged and there was no evidence of willful or deliberate misconduct. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a hearing was scheduled for June 25, 2013. The claimant participated personally. The employer was represented by Paul Jahnke, Hearings Representative, and participated by Sheila McGuire, Human Resources Manager, and Kim Burrell, Kitchen Manager. The claimant offered and Exhibit A was received into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on October 30, 2008, as a part-time kitchen clerk. The employer did not guarantee the claimant a certain number of hours per week at the time she was hired. She signed an Availability Sheet on October 15, 2008, prior to her hire that indicated she was available to work 9:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Monday through Sunday. For the first two years of her employment the claimant worked mostly nights. After that the claimant generally worked daytime hours but she occasionally worked nights. Her hours fluctuated based on the needs of the employer and her requests for time off. The claimant was good friends with the kitchen manager. She saw the kitchen manager reduce employees' hours in an effort to get them to quit.

The claimant was scheduled for 37 hours for the week ending January 20, 2013. The claimant did not work any hours due to illness. The claimant was scheduled for 21 hours for the week ending January 27, 2013. On January 25, 2013, the schedule for the week of January 28, 2013, was posted. The claimant was scheduled to work only two shifts. During that week the claimant had requested three days off for personal reasons related to her daughter's birthday. One or two of those shifts were night shifts.

On or about January 27, 2013, the claimant approached the kitchen manager and said that she thought the kitchen manager was trying to make her quit. The claimant told the kitchen manager that she had seen her do it before to others. The claimant saw she had only 14 hours and she had to work nights. The claimant asked her what was going on. The kitchen manager told the claimant that she felt the claimant's performance had fallen. The claimant said that her attendance had problems but her work was the same. The kitchen manager was silent. The claimant said that she guessed it was the end of the road and quit work. Continued work was available had the claimant not resigned.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(18) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(18) The claimant left because of a dislike of the shift worked.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. <u>Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by her words and actions. She told the employer she was leaving and quit work. When an employee quits work because she is dissatisfied with her hours, her leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work because she wanted to work more hours. Her leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

lowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the

overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The claimant has received benefits since filing the claim herein. Pursuant to this decision, those benefits may now constitute an overpayment. The issue of the overpayment is remanded for determination.

DECISION:

The representative's April 5, 2013 decision (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The issue of the overpayment is remanded for determination.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/pjs