BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

DAVE D SPIEKER	:	
Claimant,	:	HEARING NUMBER: 11B-UI-08371
and	:	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
G & K SERVICES COMPANY	:	DECISION

Employer.

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2-A, 24.32-1

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Monique F. Kuester

Elizabeth L. Seiser

DISSENTING OPINION OF JOHN A. PENO:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge. The Claimant pulled clothes out of the dryer that he had not locked out. Although he pushed the 'e-stop' button, he did not lock out the machine. The Claimant testified that he didn't actually enter the machine until another Employer locked the machine out. The Employer terminated him on this first offense. I would conclude that this was an isolated instance of poor judgment that didn't rise to the legal definition of misconduct. Benefits should be allowed provided the Claimant is otherwise eligible.

John A. Peno

AMG/fnv