IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JANE JENSEN

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 07A-UI-06566-BT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

COMMUNITY CARE INC

Employer

OC: 05/27/07 R: 04 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Community Care, Inc. (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated June 20, 2007, reference 01, which held that Jane Jensen (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on July 19, 2007. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Lori Jahn, HCBS Director and Carol Wells, Human Resources Director. Employer's Exhibits One through Four and Claimant's Exhibits A and B were admitted into evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's voluntary separation from employment qualifies her to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired full-time on June 23, 1998 and was most recently working as an office manager when she voluntarily quit on May 29, 2007. At the end of the day on May 24, 2007, she was informed her position of office manager was being eliminated due to financial cuts but that she could continue on as a receptionist. The claimant said she had to think about it but later turned in her resignation letter. The employer now denies that the claimant's position was being cut but does admit there was going to be changes to her job duties. The two part-time receptionist positions were eliminated and the claimant's work area was going to be moved to the front desk. She was now going to have to greet people and answer phones, in addition to covering all her previous duties as the office manager. Additionally, she was going to work with quality assurance auditing and learn the new scheduling and payroll software. The employer states that a part-time clerk would be hired to assist the claimant with the front desk responsibilities and the clerical workload.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant's voluntary separation from employment qualifies her to receive unemployment insurance benefits. She is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if she voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.5-1.

In general, a voluntary quit requires evidence of an intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act carrying out that intention. <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980) and <u>Peck v. Employment Appeal Bd.</u>, 492 N.W.2d 438 (lowa Ct. App. 1992). The claimant demonstrated her intent to quit and acted to carry it out by turning in her resignation letter. She quit because of changes in her job duties.

871 IAC 24.26(1) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

A "change in the contract of hire" means a substantial change in the terms or conditions of employment. See <u>Wiese v. lowa Dept. of Job Service</u>, 389 N.W.2d 676, 679 (lowa 1986). The law presumes a claimant has left employment with good cause when she quits because of a change in the contract of hire. 871 IAC 24.26(1). Generally, a substantial reduction in hours or pay will give an employee good cause for quitting. See <u>Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 433 N.W.2d 700 (lowa 1988). In analyzing such cases, the lowa Courts look at the impact on the claimant, rather than the employer's motivation. <u>Id</u>. Moving the claimant out of an office to the front desk area and requiring her to answer phones in addition to completing all her previous duties is a substantial change in the contract of hire.

It is the claimant's burden to prove that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify her. Iowa Code § 96.6-2. She has satisfied that burden and benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated June 20, 2007, reference 01, is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily quit her employment with good cause attributable to the employer and is qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits provided she is otherwise eligible.

Sugar D. Askarman

Susan D. Ackerman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/css