# IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

ANA M. ZAVALA

Claimant

APPEAL 22A-UI-10015-CS-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WELLS ENTERPRISES INC.

Employer

OC: 05/30/21

Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code §96.5(2)a-Discharge/Misconduct

lowa Code §96.5(1)- Voluntary Quit

lowa Code § 96.6(2) - Timeliness of Appeal

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On April 20, 2022, the claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the August 13, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based on claimant voluntarily quitting on May 24, 2021, by failing to report to work for three days in a row. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on June 8, 2022. The hearing was held together with appeals 22A-Ul-10016-CS-T; and 22A-Ul-10017-CS-T, and combined into one record. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Human Resources Service Center, Stacey Roupe. Administrative notice was taken of claimant's unemployment insurance benefits.

## **ISSUES:**

- I. Is claimant's appeal timely?
- II. Was the separation a layoff, discharge for misconduct, or voluntary quit without good cause?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A unemployment insurance decision was mailed to the claimant's last known address of record on August 13, 2021. Claimant received the decision within the appeal period. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau by August 23, 2021. The appeal was not filed until April 20, 2022, which is after the date noticed on the unemployment insurance decision because claimant did not know how to appeal.

Claimant began working for employer on February 18, 2019. Claimant last worked as a full-time category B helper. Claimant was separated from employment on May 25, 2021.

In March 2021, claimant went on a medical leave of absence. Claimant was having medical problems that required her to have surgery on her sinuses. Claimant underwent surgery and returned to work on May 20, 2021.

When claimant returned to work she was still experiencing pain. As part of claimant's job she was required to wear safety goggles. Claimant was not able to wear the safety goggles because of the pain she was experiencing with her sinuses. During a portion of claimant's workday she wore a face shield.

Eventually the safety coordinator became aware claimant was not wearing safety goggles. Claimant was required to go to human resources to discuss why she was not wearing safety goggles. During the conversation claimant informed Allison Burke from human resources and the safety coordinator that she was experiencing pain when she wore the safety goggles. The safety coordinator informed claimant she could no longer wear just the face shield because it would cause other workers to ask to wear face shields. Ms. Burke informed claimant that she still had six more weeks of medical leave available and instructed claimant to go home and apply for an extension of her medical leave through their third-party administrator, Prudential Insurance. During this conversation claimant asked Ms. Burke if she needed to call into work each day that she was absent. Ms. Burke informed her that she would not be required to call in each day.

On May 25, 2021, claimant was informed that she was terminated from employment for not calling in or showing up for work three days in a row in violation of the employer's policy. Claimant was scheduled to work May 21, 22, and 23, 2021. Claimant did not call in prior to her shift to notify them she would be absent.

The employer has a policy that states: "Employees must provide significant information to Wells [employer] to reasonable determine if FMLA may apply to health condition. Failure to call in to the call-in line until approval is considered a no call, no show." The employer also has a no call, no show policy that states: If an employee is a no call, no show for three consecutive days the employee's employment will be terminated. Claimant was aware of the no call, no show policy. Claimant acknowledged the employer's employee handbook on February 18, 2019.

Claimant had a prior written warning about her attendance on June 11, 2020.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal is untimely.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of § 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that

the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to § 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to § 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding § 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Bd. of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (lowa 1976).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); Smith v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The administrative law judge concludes that failure to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the lowa Employment Security Law was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to lowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See *Beardslee v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979) and *Franklin v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (lowa 1979).

# **DECISION:**

The August 13, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is AFFIRMED. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the decision of the representative remains in effect.

Carly Smith

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

August 8, 2022

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

cs/mh

**NOTE TO CLAIM ANT:** This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision.

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4<sup>th</sup> Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191

Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at low a Code §17A.19, which is online at <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/">https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

### SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191

En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

## UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de low a §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

## SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.