

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

STEVEN GOSHON
Claimant

APPEAL NO: 14A-UI-00510-BT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**CASEY'S MARKETING COMPANY
CASEY'S GENERAL STORES**
Employer

**OC: 12/15/13
Claimant: Appellant (4)**

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)g - Voluntary Leaving/Requalification

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Steven Goshon (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated January 9, 2014, reference 01, which held that he was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because he quit his employment with Casey's General Store (employer) without good cause attributable to the employer. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on February 6, 2014. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Manager Teri Kouri.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant has requalified for benefits since the separation from this employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a full-time clerk from August 12, 2011, through March 13, 2013, when he resigned to experience other employment opportunities. He enjoyed his time with this employer but was ready to try something new.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant has requalified for benefits.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-g provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

g. The individual left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the employer under circumstances which did or would disqualify the individual for benefits, except as provided in paragraph "a" of this subsection but, subsequent to the leaving, the individual worked in and was paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

The claimant has requalified for benefits since the separation from this employer. Accordingly, benefits are allowed and the account of the employer shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated January 9, 2014, reference 01, is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant has requalified for benefits since the separation and since the prior claim year separation decision. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The account of the employer shall not be charged.

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/css