

**IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU**

RODNEY L MILLER
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 22A-UI-09241-JT-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

AMERICAN SPIRIT CORPORATION
Employer

**OC: 03/15/20
Claimant: Appellant (4)**

Iowa Code Section 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal
Iowa Code Section 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit
Iowa Code Section 96.5(1)(g) - Requalification

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On February 2, 2022, Rodney Miller (claimant) filed a late appeal from the February 2, 2022 (reference 01) decision that disqualified the claimant for benefits and that held the employer's account would not be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion the claimant voluntarily quit on July 26, 2020 without good cause attributable to the employer. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on May 27, 2022. Claimant participated. Shelly Dollar represented the employer. There were three appeal numbers set for a consolidated hearing: 22A-UI-09241-JT-T, 22A-UI-09244-JT-T and 22A-UI-09280-JT-T. Exhibit A, the online appeal, was received into evidence. The administrative law judge took official notice of the following Agency administrative records: the reference 01, 02 and 03 decisions, DBIN, KPYX, DBRO, and WAGE-A.

ISSUE:

Whether the appeal was timely. Whether there is good cause to treat the appeal as timely.
Whether the claimant requalified for benefits subsequent to the July 26, 2020 separation and prior to the November 1, 2020 additional claim for benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: On February 2, 2022, Iowa Workforce Development mailed the February 2, 2022 (reference 01) decision to the claimant's Des Moines last-known address of record. The reference 01 decision disqualified the claimant for benefits and held the employer's account would not be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion the claimant voluntarily quit on July 26, 2020 without good cause attributable to the employer. The reference 01 stated the decision would become final unless an appeal was postmarked by February 12, 2022 or was received by the Appeals Section by that date. The reference 01 also stated that if the appeal deadline fell on a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday, the deadline would be extended to the next working day. February 12, 2022 was a Saturday and the next working day was Monday, February 14, 2022. The claimant received the reference 01 decision in a timely manner, erroneously discounted the importance of the decision, and therefore did not take steps to file an appeal by the February 14, 2022

extended appeal deadline. Until the May 27, 2022 appeal hearing, the claimant functioned under the erroneous assumption that his separation from this employer/employment was irrelevant to determining his eligibility for unemployment insurance benefits.

On April 5, 2022, IWD mailed two overpayment decisions to the claimant. The reference 02 decision held the claimant was overpaid \$5,386.00 for 15 weeks between November 8, 2020 and February 20, 2021. The reference 03 decision held the claimant was overpaid \$360.00 in Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation (PEUC) for the week ending February 27, 2021 and \$2,700.00 in Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) for nine weeks between December 27, 2020 and February 27, 2021. Both overpayment decisions cited the reference 01 disqualification decision as the basis for the overpayment determination. Each overpayment decision included an April 15, 2022 deadline for appeal.

On April 14, 2022, the claimant completed and transmitted an online appeal that referenced the reference 02 decision. The Appeals Bureau received the appeal on April 14, 2022 and treated it as also an appeal from the reference 01 and reference 03 decisions.

Subsequent to the claimant's July 26, 2020 separation from American Spirit Corporation, the claimant worked in additional employment for which he was paid insured wages. During the third quarter of 2020, which ended September 30, 2020, employer Reese Associates, Inc. paid the claimant \$4,120.00 in wages. That amount exceeded 10 times the claimant's \$360.00 weekly benefit amount. The same employer reported paying the claimant \$3,400.00 in wages during the fourth quarter of 2020. The claimant established an "additional claim" for benefits that was effective November 1, 2020. The benefits the claimant received were all paid in connection with the additional claim.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsections 10 and 11, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of

any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten-day deadline for appeal begins to run on the date Workforce Development mails the decision to the parties. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the Agency representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

An appeal submitted by mail is deemed filed on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it was received, or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(1)(a). See also *Messina v. IDJS*, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983). An appeal submitted by any other means is deemed filed on the date it is received by the Unemployment Insurance Division of Iowa Workforce Development. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(1)(b).

The evidence in the record establishes that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott*, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). One question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. IESC*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. IESC*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973).

No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the division after considering the circumstances in the case. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(2)(c).

The weight of the evidence in the record establishes an untimely appeal from the February 2, 2022 (reference 01) disqualification decision. The weight of the evidence establishes that the claimant received the reference 01 decision in a timely manner, had a reasonable opportunity to file an appeal by the appeal deadline, but unreasonably delayed filing the appeal to April 12, 2022. The claimant presented insufficient evidence to rebut the presumption that IWD mailed the decision on February 2, 2022 and that the United States Postal Service delivered the decision in a timely manner. Though the claimant's spouse collects the mail, the claimant did not offer testimony from his spouse. The late filing of the appeal was not attributable to the Iowa Workforce Development error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. There is not good cause to treat the late appeal as a timely appeal. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(2). Because the appeal was untimely, administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to disturb the decision from which the claimant appeals in the present matter. See *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979) and *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979).

Iowa Code section 96.5(1)(g) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

g. The individual left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the employer under circumstances which did or would disqualify the individual for benefits, except as provided in paragraph "a" of this subsection but, subsequent to the leaving, the individual worked in and was paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Subsequent to voluntarily quitting the employment on July 26, 2020 without good cause attributable to the employer, and prior to establishing the November 1, 2020 original claim, the claimant worked in and was paid wages for insured work equal to 10 times the \$360.00 weekly benefit amount. Accordingly, the claimant is eligible for benefits in connection with the November 1, 2020 additional claim, provided the claimant meets all other eligibility requirements

DECISION:

The claimant's appeal from the February 2, 2022 (reference 01) decision was untimely. The reference 01 decision, including the disqualification stands. However, the reference 01 decision must be MODIFIED to acknowledge that the claimant had requalified for benefits prior to establishing the "additional claim" that was effective November 1, 2020. Based on the requalification, the claimant is eligible for benefits in connection with the November 1, 2020 additional claim, provided the claimant meets all other eligibility requirements. This employer's account will not be charged.



James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge

August 1, 2022
Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/mh

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

**Employment Appeal Board
4th Floor – Lucas Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Fax: (515)281-7191
Online: eab.iowa.gov**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at <https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf> OR by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/>.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

**Employment Appeal Board
4th Floor – Lucas Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Fax: (515)281-7191
En línea: eab.iowa.gov**

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en <https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf> o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal <https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/>.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.