**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section** 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 **DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE** 

68-0157 (7-97) - 3091078 - EI

**BEVERLY J BOLLINGER** 120 APACHE TRL **IOWA CITY IA 52240** 

**HOWARD JOHNSON AIRPORT EXPRESS – CEDAR RAPIDS** c/o EMPLOYERS UNITY INC PO BOX 749000 ARVADA CO 80006-9000

**Appeal Number:** 04A-UI-10680-S2T

OC: 09/05/04 R: 03 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor-Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)	
(Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge for Misconduct Section 96.3-7 - Overpayment

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Howard Johnson Airport Express (employer) appealed a representative's September 23, 2004 decision (reference 02) that concluded Beverly Bollinger (claimant) was discharged and there was no evidence of willful or deliberate misconduct. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on October 28, 2004. The claimant participated personally. The employer was represented by Lucie Hengen, Hearings Representative, and participated by Craig Simpson, General Manager; and Trov Hollatz. Housekeeper.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on April 24, 2002, as a full-time housekeeper. The claimant worked for the Hampton Inn and Holiday Inn that were next door to one another. The claimant received a copy of the employer's handbook and signed for its receipt on April 25, 2002, and September 19, 2002. The employer issued the claimant verbal warnings for attitude and failure to clean properly.

The employer had failed inspection by the franchise and was placed on franchise watch. The employer understood that the premises had to be cleaned properly or the employer could lose its franchise. Employees were periodically asked to move light furniture, like nightstands in order to properly clean the rooms. On September 2, 2004, the claimant was asked to move nightstands to clean properly. The claimant refused to do so. Shortly thereafter she left telling the employer her daughter's house was on fire. On September 3, 2004, the employer asked the claimant to work at the Holiday Inn location. The claimant refused without offering any reason. The claimant said she was not going to work at the Holiday Inn and she would go home instead. Then the claimant left the premises. The employer terminated the claimant on September 5, 2004.

The testimony of the employer and claimant was conflicting. The administrative law judge finds the employer's testimony to be more credible because the claimant's testimony was inconsistent.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct. For the following reasons the administrative law judge concludes she was.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

# 871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer

has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. <u>Cosper v. lowa Department of Job Service</u>, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). Repeated failure to follow an employer's instructions in the performance of duties is misconduct. <u>Gilliam v. Atlantic Bottling Company</u>, 453 N.W.2d 230 (lowa App. 1990). An employer has a right to expect employees to follow instructions. The claimant disregarded the employer's right by refusing to perform work that was regularly expected of the claimant and repeatedly failing to follow instructions. The claimant's disregard of the employer's interests is misconduct. As such she is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

# Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The claimant has received benefits since filing her claim herein. Pursuant to this decision, those benefits now constitute an overpayment, which must be repaid. The overpayment of benefits is addressed in 04A-UI-10679-S2T.

#### **DECISION:**

The representative's September 23, 2004 decision (reference 02) is reversed. The claimant is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because she was discharged from work for misconduct. Benefits are withheld until she has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant overpayment benefits are addressed in 04A-UI-10679-S2T.

bas/pjs